



TRANSPORTATION HUMAN FACTORS

Air Show Performers

Safety, Risk Management
and Psychological Factors

Manolis Karachalios
Daniel Kwasi Adjekum



CRC Press
Taylor & Francis Group

Air Show Performers

Air shows are high-risk activities that must be conducted with careful thought toward the general public, spectators, and flying and nonflying participants to ensure that the activity is as safe as reasonably possible. The impromptu, ad hoc, unrehearsed, or unplanned must never be attempted. This book offers a holistic overview of the state of safety, including safety cultural variables, safety risk parameters, and human performance factors, in the international air show community.

The aim of this book is to close the knowledge gap on safety management in air shows. It imparts to the aviation sector and other high-risk and high-performance industries the experience and knowledge that airshow performers have gained regarding risk assessment, psychological aspects, and mindfulness techniques used for safe and effective performances. The book highlights how resilient safety culture can change the air show community's mentality to deliver safer and more spectacular air show events and promotes the culture of excellence that the air show community is wedded to. The reader will obtain a thorough understanding of safety issues in air shows.

Air Show Performers: Safety, Risk Management, and Psychological Factors is a critical read for professionals within the international air show community including nonflying participants. Its appeal extends to practitioners in aviation, health and safety, and events management.

"[...] For sure, this book will become a reference and a source of inspiration for future generations of Display Pilots."

**Jacques Bothelin, French Aerobatic Jet Team Leader,
Honorary Board Member European Airshow Council**

Manolis Karachalios was the Hellenic Air Force's F-16 Demo Team "ZEUS" Display Pilot for the 2010–2012 display seasons. Dr. Karachalios holds a Master of Business Administration (MBA) in Aviation Management from Coventry University, and a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Aerospace Sciences from the University of North Dakota focusing on air show safety and development.

Daniel Kwasi Adjekum has over 25 years of experience in aviation as a former Ghana Air Force squadron commander, command pilot, and air display safety director. He was also an airline pilot and is currently an aviation safety consultant and professor of aviation. He is an Internationally recognized aviation safety subject-matter expert and an International Air Transport Association (IATA) certified Safety Management Systems (SMS) implementation and control expert.

Transportation Human Factors: Aerospace, Aviation, Maritime, Rail, and Road Series

Series Editor: Professor Neville A. Stanton, University of Southampton, UK

Designing Interaction and Interfaces for Automated Vehicles

User-Centred Ecological Design and Testing

Neville Stanton, Kirsten M.A. Revell, and Patrick Langdon

Human-Automation Interaction Design

Developing a Vehicle Automation Assistant

Jediah R. Clark, Neville A. Stanton, and Kirsten Revell

Assisted Eco-Driving

A Practical Guide to the Design and Testing of an Eco-Driving Assistance System (EDAS)

Craig K. Allison, James M. Fleming, Xingd A. Yan, Roberto Lot, and Neville A. Stanton

Safety Matters

An Introduction to Safety Science

David O'Hare

Human Factors on the Flight Deck

A Guide to Designing, Modelling and Evaluating

Katie J. Parnell, Victoria A. Banks, Rachael A. Wynne, Neville A. Stanton, and Katherine L. Plant

Air Show Performers

Safety, Risk Management and Psychological Factors

Manolis Karachalios and Daniel Kwasi Adjekum

Driving Training for Automated Vehicles:

A Systems Approach

Siobhán E. Merriman, Katherine L. Plant, Kirsten M. A. Revell and Neville A. Stanton

For more information about this series, please visit: <https://www.crcpress.com/Transportation-Human-Factors/book-series/CRCTRNHUMFACAER>

Air Show Performers

Safety, Risk Management, and Psychological Factors

Manolis Karachalios and
Daniel Kwasi Adjekum



CRC Press

Taylor & Francis Group

Boca Raton London New York

CRC Press is an imprint of the
Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business

Designed cover image: Hellenic Air Force (HAF)

First edition published 2024

by CRC Press

2385 NW Executive Center Drive, Suite 320, Boca Raton FL 33431

and by CRC Press

4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

© 2024 Manolis Karachalios and Daniel Kwasi Adjekum

Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

With the exception of Chapter 1, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

Chapter 1 of this book is available for free in PDF format as Open Access from the individual product page at www.crcpress.com. It has been made available under a Creative Commons [Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives (CC-BY-NC-ND)] 4.0 license.

Funded by Manolis Karachalios

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, access www.copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. For works that are not available on CCC please contact mpkbookspermissions@tandf.co.uk

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

ISBN: 9781032556147 (hbk)

ISBN: 9781032557144 (pbk)

ISBN: 9781003431879 (ebk)

ISBN: 9781032626147 (eBook+)

DOI: 10.1201/9781003431879

Typeset in Times

by Deanta Global Publishing Services, Chennai, India

Contents

Authors.....xi

Forewordxii

Preface..... xiii

Chapter 1 The Evolution of Air Show Performers..... 1

 1.1 Introduction 1

 1.1.1 Who: Air Show Performers and the Broader
 Air Show Community 1

 1.1.2 Where: The Venues of Air Show Performances 1

 1.1.3 What and Why: The Evolution of Safety, Risk
 Management, and Psychological Factors 2

 1.1.4 When: The Timeline of Air Show History and the
 Inception of This Book..... 2

 1.2 Who 2

 1.2.1 Air Show Performer Categories: A Historical
 Overview 3

 1.2.2 Air Bosses and Flying Control Committee (FCC) 13

 1.2.3 Air Show Regulators 15

 1.2.4 Air Show Stakeholders Association/Collaboration/
 Bonding 16

 1.2.5 Diversity and Inclusion in Air Shows..... 17

 1.2.6 Trailblazing Female Airs Show Performers..... 20

 1.2.7 All African American Air Show Performers..... 23

 1.2.8 Global Perspectives 24

 1.3 Where 26

 1.3.1 Soaring Beyond Borders: The Inspiring
 Evolution of Air Shows Internationally..... 26

 1.3.2 Today’s Types of Air Show Events..... 27

 1.4 What 29

 1.4.1 Risk Management: From Daredevils to
 Professionals..... 29

 1.4.2 Current Approach to Risk Management 29

 1.5 Why 30

 1.5.1 Evolution of Psychological Factors Affecting
 Air Show Performers..... 30

 1.5.2 Air Show Performers’ Mindset Over the Years 33

 1.6 When 34

 1.6.1 Early Air Shows: A Public Display of Novel
 Aircraft Capabilities and Pilot Skills..... 35

 1.6.2 Modern Air Shows: From Exhilarating
 Entertainment to Episodic Tragedies 38

1.6.3	Air Show Safety: A Data-Driven Perspective of Psychological Factors That Influence Resilient Safety Culture in Air Shows	38
	Note	39
	List of References.....	40
	Further Reading.....	43
Chapter 2	Risk Management.....	45
2.1	Introduction	45
2.1.1	What Is a Risk?	45
2.1.2	Risk Management among Air Show Performers: An Overview	46
2.2	Risks Identified in Literature and Accident Reports (<i>Most Frequently Observed Risks</i>)	48
2.2.1	Loss of Control In-flight (LOC-I)	49
2.2.2	Vertical Maneuvers	49
2.2.3	Energy-Depleting Maneuvers.....	50
2.2.4	Flight into Terrain (FIT) or Flight into Object (FIO)	50
2.2.5	Mid-Air Collision (MAC)	50
2.2.6	Human Factors (HF)	50
2.2.7	Push-Pull Effect	51
2.2.8	Distractions in the Cockpit.....	52
2.2.9	Mechanical Failure.....	52
2.2.10	Bird Strikes.....	52
2.3	Risk Management Planning for Air Show Performers.....	52
2.3.1	Risk Perception	53
2.3.2	Risk Tolerance.....	53
2.3.3	Psychological Risk Factors Associated with the Air Show Operations.....	54
2.3.4	Variations in Risk Management Based on Demographics.....	75
2.3.5	Practical Examples of Effective Risk Management Methods.....	78
2.4	Enhancing Risk Management in Air Show Performances.....	79
2.4.1	Airshow Operational Risk Management (AORM) Framework for Performers	80
2.4.2	Systematic Approach to Risk Management in Air Shows.....	85
2.4.3	The Insidious Hazard Associated with the Normalization of Deviance	89
	Notes.....	91
	List of References.....	91

Chapter 3 Air Show Culture 96

3.1 Introduction 96

3.2 Safety Culture..... 97

3.3 Resilient Safety Culture..... 98

3.4 Air Show Performers’ Excellence Culture 100

3.4.1 Defining Excellence Culture for Air Show Performers 100

3.4.2 Key Elements of Air Show Excellence Culture 100

3.4.3 Fostering a Culture of Excellence among Air Show Performers: Strategies 101

3.5 Perspectives from Air Show Performers on Air Show Culture..... 105

3.5.1 Interviews Results 105

3.5.2 Effect of Air Show Flying Experience on Perception of Resilient Safety Culture 111

3.6 Case Study: Fast Jet Solo Display Pilot Safety Workshop 112

3.6.1 Background 112

3.6.2 Workshop’s Exclusive Features Shaping Display Pilots’ Safety Culture 113

3.6.3 Recommendations for the Air Show Community.... 114

Note 115

List of References..... 115

Chapter 4 Psychological Factors 118

4.1 Introduction 118

4.2 Hazardous Attitudes 119

4.3 Biases..... 120

4.3.1 The 5B Model..... 120

4.3.1.1 Introduction 120

4.3.2 The 5B Domino Effect 123

4.4 Study Findings..... 126

4.4.1 Hazardous Attitudes Identified by the FAA as Detrimental to Flight Safety 127

4.4.2 Concealed Hazardous Attitudes 128

4.4.3 Antidotes to Concealed Hazardous Attitudes 130

4.5 Psychological Effects of Physiology on Air Show Performers 131

4.5.1 G Forces 132

4.5.2 Push-Pull Effect 133

4.5.3 Low-Level Aerobatics 134

4.6 Case Study: The 2015 Shoreham Airshow Tragedy: The Role of Psychological Factors in the Pilot’s Decision-Making 136

4.6.1 Introduction 136

4.6.2	Background	136
4.6.3	Psychological Factors Affecting the Pilot's Decision-Making.....	136
4.6.4	5B Model Analysis.....	137
4.6.5	Lessons Learned.....	138
4.6.6	Conclusion.....	140
	Notes.....	140
	List of References.....	140
	Further Reading.....	142
Chapter 5	Mindfulness.....	143
5.1	Introduction	143
5.2	Mindfulness.....	143
5.2.1	Individual Mindfulness	144
5.2.2	Mindfulness Training.....	144
5.2.3	The Power of Mindfulness for Air Show Performers	146
5.2.4	Incorporating Mindfulness into Air Show Performers' Daily Routines: Practical Applications.....	147
5.2.5	Adapting Mindfulness Techniques for High G Situations in Air Show Performances	149
5.2.6	Maintaining Synchronized Mindfulness Among Air Show Team Members	150
5.3	Study Findings.....	151
5.3.1	Visualization	152
5.3.2	Exogenous Factor Control	155
5.3.3	Preshow Preparation.....	155
5.3.4	Consistency	156
5.3.5	Role of a Safety Observer.....	157
5.3.6	60-minute Rule.....	157
5.4	Case Studies.....	158
5.4.1	Solo Display Pilots: "Walk the Talk" Method	158
5.4.2	Demo Teams: Blue Angels	161
	Notes.....	162
	List of References.....	162
	Further Reading.....	165
Chapter 6	Epic Survivals	166
6.1	Introduction	166
6.2	Case Study 1. Lessons from a Near-Miss Experience: Hellenic AF F-16 Demo Team <i>ZEUS</i> , 2012 (Manolis Karachalios).....	167

- 6.2.1 What, When, Where: The Facts 167
 - 6.2.2 Why: The Psychological Factors Involved 170
 - 6.2.3 How: Risk Management Strategies that
Contributed to the Epic Survival..... 174
 - 6.2.4 Lessons Relearned..... 176
- 6.3 Case Study 2. Escaping a Wounded Hornet,
RCAF CF-188, 2010 177
 - 6.3.1 Interview Analysis..... 177
 - 6.3.2 What, When, Where: The Facts 179
 - 6.3.3 Why: The Psychological Factors Involved 180
 - 6.3.4 How: Risk Management Strategies that
Contributed to the Epic Survival..... 181
- 6.4 Case Study 3. Resilience and Safety Culture:
The Red Arrows’ Triumph Over Tragedy,
RAF Red Arrows, 2010 182
 - 6.4.1 What, When, Where: The Facts 182
 - 6.4.2 Why: The Psychological Factors Involved 183
 - 6.4.3 How: Risk Management Strategies that
Contributed to the Epic Survival..... 185
- 6.5 Case Study 4. An Unforeseen Encounter: Epic Survival
among Air Show Performers in Vintage Warbirds, 2008 187
 - 6.5.1 What, When, Where: The Facts 187
 - 6.5.2 Why: The Psychological Factors Involved 188
 - 6.5.3 How: Risk Management Strategies that
Contributed to the Epic Survival..... 190
- 6.6 Case Study 5. A Near Disaster at the Paris Air
Show: The 1989 MIG-29 Bird Strike Incident 191
 - 6.6.1 What, When, Where: The Facts 191
 - 6.6.2 Why: The Psychological Factors Involved 193
 - 6.6.3 How: Risk Management Strategies that
Contributed to the Epic Survival..... 193
- 6.7 Case Study 6. Exceptional Teamwork: Wing
Walker Ditching at UK, 2021 195
 - 6.7.1 What, When, Where: The Facts 195
 - 6.7.2 Why: The Psychological Factors Involved 196
 - 6.7.3 How: Risk Management Strategies that
Contributed to the Epic Survival..... 197
- Notes..... 198
- List of References..... 199

- Chapter 7** The Evolution of Air Shows: From Thrilling Spectacle to Safe
and Sustainable Exhibition..... 205
 - 7.1 Introduction 205
 - 7.2 Safety..... 206

7.3	Sustainability	206
7.3.1	Environmentally Sustainable Practices	207
7.3.2	Sustainable Financial Support and Sponsorship	208
7.3.3	Collaboration and International Partnerships	208
7.4	Innovation	210
7.4.1	Virtual and Augmented Reality	210
7.4.2	3D Sound and Holographic Displays	210
7.4.3	Hybrid Events	211
7.4.4	Integration of Social Media.....	211
7.4.5	Shows with Small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)	212
7.4.6	Integration of Urban Air Mobility (UAM) Demonstrations.....	213
7.5	Diversity and Inclusivity.....	214
7.5.1	Diverse Air Shows.....	214
7.5.2	Accessible and Inclusive Events	215
7.6	Community-Centered Experiences	215
7.6.1	Intergenerational Entertainment	215
7.6.2	Educational and Outreach Programs.....	216
7.7	The Future Air Show Performer: Embracing Innovation, Diversity, and Sustainability.....	216
7.7.1	Innovative Performances	217
7.7.2	Advancements in Technology	218
7.7.3	New Air Show View: Shifting to a Culture of Excellence as the Core Ingredient for Safety	219
7.7.4	Environmental Sustainability.....	220
7.8	Biking at Air Shows: Demonstration of Commitment to Sustainability and Well-Being.....	221
	Notes.....	222
	List of References.....	222
Chapter 8	Concluding Remarks	224
	Glossary.....	225
	Index	227

Authors

Manolis Karachalios, PhD, MBA, ATP, CFI.

Dr. Manolis Karachalios was the Hellenic Air Force's F-16 Demo Team "Zeus" Display Pilot for the 2010 to 2012 display seasons. He joined the Hellenic Air Force Academy in 1996 and graduated with honors in 2000. Upon graduation he spent 2 years as an operational A-7H Corsair pilot and then he joined the 340 Squadron, flying the F-16 Block 52+. Since 2014, he has been a civilian instructor pilot and an aviation consultant, while in 2018 he took over as the flying display director (FDD) of the Athens Flying Week International Air Show (AFW). His passion for air shows keeps him involved as a Member of the Board of the European Airshow Council (EAC) and the International Air Show Safety Team (IASST), as well as a moderator of the annual Fast Jet Solo Display Pilots' Safety Workshop.

Dr. Karachalios holds a Master of Business Administration (MBA) in Aviation Management from Coventry University, and a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Aerospace Sciences from the University of North Dakota focusing on air show safety and development.

Daniel Kwasi Adjekum, PhD, ATP, CSP.

Dr. Daniel Kwasi Adjekum has over 25 years of experience in aviation as a former Ghana Air Force squadron commander, command pilot, and air display safety director. He was also an airline pilot and is currently an aviation safety consultant and professor of aviation. He is an Internationally recognized aviation safety subject-matter expert and an International Air Transport Association (IATA) certified Safety Management Systems (SMS) implementation and control expert.

He holds a Master of Science in Aviation and a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Aerospace Sciences from the University of North Dakota. He is a certified safety professional (CSP) accredited by the Board of Safety Professionals (BCSP) of the United States. Dr. Adjekum is currently an assistant professor at the aviation department (UND) teaching courses in crew resource management, safety management systems, and human factors.

Dr. Adjekum has published extensively in peer-reviewed academic journals and presented at academic conferences and industry workshops both in Ghana and in the US. He is regularly sought after by local, national, and international media houses to provide expert insight on aviation accident investigations and relevant aviation safety issues.

Foreword

I first heard of Dr. Manolis Karachalios when I was invited to participate in a survey he designed to contribute to a deeper understanding of the safety culture in the international air show community, and I was immediately intrigued.

I have flown airshows for more than 35 years in a variety of different airplanes, around the world, in all sorts of weather conditions. Airshows are a high-risk environment, and managing those risks is my most important job. For me, it begins months before the airshow season when considering next year's schedule, maintaining my airplane, and organizing my life around my training and practice program by staying in good health and conditioning myself to maintain a good G tolerance.

For airshow pilots, psychological factors are important too. It takes practice and discipline to learn to compartmentalize and manage unwanted distractions before we fly. We have to learn to be mindful, present and focused in an extremely dynamic environment where there are many people and many demands on our time.

Safety, risk management, and the psychology of handling stress in the airshow environment are the things I have literally been obsessed with since I first began my career. Any pilot, and especially those of us who operate in a high-risk environment, must continually analyze, assess, and, importantly, learn and improve, not only for themselves but for future generations.

Dr. K's book provides a framework for continuous improvement of safety. Not just a spectator, he is an active participant in the airshow community. Dr. K's experience as a fighter pilot flying F-16s in the Hellenic Air Force, as the Flying Director for the Athens Flying Week Airshow, and as a longtime member of the Board of Directors for the European Airshow Council makes his research and insights into how we can improve our community and its safety culture, uniquely qualified and important.

Dr. K's findings give pilots like me a fresh perspective. Listening to other voices can give us a different view of our industry. And, while it's no big surprise that his findings suggest most airshow performers embrace a resilient safety culture, how we best put that into practice and manage those risks is the key.

Best safety practices in aviation and airshow flying are a lifelong commitment to learning and improving. It's thanks to Dr. Karachalios and Dr. Adjekum, and other researchers that we have been given some wonderful tools to do that with.

Patty Wagstaff

American Aerobatic Pilot, Three-time U.S. National Aerobatic Champion, Inductee of the National Aviation Hall of Fame

Preface

Picture yourself high above a bustling crowd of thousands, spectators squinting into the sun, their faces turned upwards in awe and anticipation. You're at the helm of a high-energy air show, piloting through a choreographed dance in the sky, commanding the rapt attention of every onlooker on the ground. Amid the thrill and spectacle, behind the deafening roar of the engines and the dazzling feats of aerial acrobatics, an uncompromising principle anchors everything: safety. It's in this electrifying yet demanding environment that the book, *Air Show Performers: Safety, Risk Management, and Psychological Factors*, finds its relevance and purpose.

Driven by years of hands-on experience and meticulous research, this book unravels the intricate tapestry of safety culture in the aviation industry. It's a deep dive into the complexities and dynamics of ensuring paramount safety during air shows, a spectacle that combines extreme skill with inherent risks.

The result of an ambitious study, this work seeks to illuminate the key factors that contribute to safety culture and operational risk management within the air show community. It carefully dissects the multifaceted relationships between operational risk factors, hazardous attitudes, resilient safety culture, and industry mindfulness. The outcome is a blueprint, clear and actionable, intended for the continuous enhancement of safety in the air show realm.

As you flip through the pages, you'll find it filled with insights and revelations, all distilled from rigorous fieldwork and innovative research. The narrative underscores the urgent need to foster a culture of excellence and mindfulness in the air show industry, challenging every stakeholder – performers, organizers, and regulators – to be an agent of safety.

This book is an open invitation, a call to arms, to all those who share the noble objective of advancing safety in the aviation industry. It strives to be a comprehensive resource for those committed to this critical cause. It's a call to action, a guide, and a compass, all in one.

We'd like to conclude by expressing our heartfelt appreciation to the dedicated professionals within the air show community, whose invaluable contributions have been instrumental in bringing this enlightening work to fruition. As we embark on this transformative journey together, we wish everyone safe skies and fair winds.

Manolis Karachalios and Daniel Kwasi Adjekum



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

1 The Evolution of Air Show Performers

1.1 INTRODUCTION

It is a cherished honor and pleasure to introduce our readers to the fascinating world of air show performance and its development over time. Throughout this introductory chapter, we use a *5W* approach (who, where, what, why, and when) to emphasize the safety, risk management, and psychological factors related to the evolution of air show performance. As a comprehensive resource for performers, organizers, and enthusiasts alike, this book explores the challenges and opportunities associated with air show performances while shedding light on the importance of maintaining a robust safety culture within the community. Moreover, it is vital to learn from past safety events and prevent the recurrence of tragic air show disasters, such as the Farnborough tragedy in 1952, the Ramstein catastrophe in 1988, the Sknyliv disaster in 2002, the Shoreham crash in 2015, and the recent Commemorative Air Force midair collision in Dallas, Texas, in 2022.

1.1.1 WHO: AIR SHOW PERFORMERS AND THE BROADER AIR SHOW COMMUNITY

We begin by discussing the various categories of air show performers and the wider air show community throughout history. We delve into the evolution of performances from their inception to the present day and the diversity, inclusion, and demographic aspects of this unique group of individuals. Additionally, we introduce the roles and responsibilities of key stakeholders involved in air show events, such as air bosses, regulators, and air show associations.

1.1.2 WHERE: THE VENUES OF AIR SHOW PERFORMANCES

In this section, we explore the different locations where air show performances have occurred over time, including the transition from early airfields to modern venues. This will include a discussion on the types of air show venues, the unique challenges they present, and the adaptations performers have made to ensure both their safety and the safety of spectators.

1.1.3 WHAT AND WHY: THE EVOLUTION OF SAFETY, RISK MANAGEMENT, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS

This section further examines how safety, risk management, and psychological factors have evolved alongside air show performances. We will discuss the factors contributing to human errors among air show performers and how these factors can increase the likelihood of safety events, such as incidents and accidents. Drawing on research and case studies from the aviation industry, we will provide practical strategies and recommendations for mitigating the effects of human error in air show performances. This will include discussions on training, standard operating procedures (SOPs), and the role of a safety culture within the air show community.

1.1.4 WHEN: THE TIMELINE OF AIR SHOW HISTORY AND THE INCEPTION OF THIS BOOK

The final section of this chapter recounts the timeline of air show history, from the earliest public displays of powered flight to the modern, high-energy performances we see today. We thoroughly examine critical milestones, technological advancements, and influential performers who have shaped the course of air show history, providing context for the evolution of safety, risk management, and psychological factors in air show performances.

Additionally, we discuss the motivations and objectives for authoring this book, which originate from a desire from the authors to explore and understand the complex interplay between air show performance, safety, risk management, and psychological factors and add to the existing body of knowledge. Most of the contents in this book are data-driven findings from seminal doctoral research, and it is a heartfelt desire of the authors to share these findings and recommendations with the broader aviation community.

Focusing on the development of air shows and the related evolution of safety, risk management, and psychological aspects, this chapter provides a foundation for examining the wide world of air show performers and the larger air show community. We intend to provide a thorough introduction that will engage, educate, and inspire readers as they journey through the fascinating and demanding world of aerial displays by addressing the who, where, what, why, and when of air show history as well as the conception of this book.

By providing this well-rounded introduction to the world of air shows, we hope to inform and enthrall our readers and contribute to the body of knowledge available to academia, aviation specialists, and other professionals involved in high-performance events. Ultimately, our goal is to inspire the next generation of aviation enthusiasts to explore the thrilling and rewarding world of air shows.

1.2 WHO

The awe-inspiring feats of air show performers have captivated audiences for over a century as they soar through the skies, defying gravity and showcasing the

boundless potential of human innovation. Behind the breathtaking aerial ballets and the thunderous roars of aircraft engines lies a complex and fascinating world where safety, risk management, and psychological factors play a vital role in ensuring these enthralling spectacles' success and continued advancement.

Every time most air show performers take to the skies, they demonstrate their exceptional skills and their unwavering dedication to ensuring the safety of both themselves and their audience.

The importance of writing about the safety of air show performers cannot be overstated, as it serves as a reminder of the immense responsibility that these pilots bear and the extensive measures they undertake to protect everyone involved. By exploring the intricate world of air show safety, we shine a light on the remarkable achievements of those who work tirelessly behind the scenes to make these events possible while emphasizing the ongoing need for vigilance, innovation, and collaboration in maintaining the highest safety standards.

1.2.1 AIR SHOW PERFORMER CATEGORIES: A HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

Air shows have been a popular form of entertainment since the early days of aviation, capturing the imagination of both aviation enthusiasts and the general public alike. From daring aerial stunts to breathtaking formation flying, air show performers have evolved and diversified over the years, with each category offering a unique perspective on the capabilities of both pilots and aircraft. An overview of the various categories of air show performers is provided, examining their historical evolution and offering insights into their unique skills and talents. This section delves into the various categories of air show performers, providing a comprehensive understanding of their unique characteristics and historical evolution. By examining both academic and air show enthusiast perspectives, we offer you a holistic view of the world of air show performers and their diverse range of talents. The section also provides a rich historical foundation of air shows and the diverse background of air show performers over time.

As stated earlier, there have been a natural evolution and diversification in performance at air shows, providing more unique and captivating experiences for all stakeholders in this area of aviation.

In contemporary times, air show performers play an essential role in showcasing the complexity, capabilities, and diversity required in aerial demonstration of aircraft and other aviation-related products. From aerobatic pilots and wing-walking performers to jet demonstration teams and glider performers, air show performers use their skill, precision, and creativity to put on thrilling displays for audiences worldwide. In the pursuit of their craft, air show performers sometimes push their skills to the very edge of safe operational envelopes. An explicit adherence to regulations, guidelines, and standard operating procedures (SOPs) set forth by aviation safety regulators is vital to ensure their safety and that of their audience while inspiring the development of new aviation technology.

As we reflect on the inspiring evolution of air show performers internationally, let us remember the indomitable spirit of the pioneers who first took to the skies and the

countless individuals who have dedicated their lives to the pursuit of their dreams. May their stories of courage, determination, and innovation serve as a beacon of hope for future generations of air show performers, reminding us all of the boundless potentials that lie within each of us when we dare to reach for the skies and embrace the extraordinary.

1.2.1.1 Civilian Aerobatic Performers

Civilian aerobatic performers are pilots who are not affiliated with any military organization and perform a variety of aerial maneuvers to entertain the audience. This category includes solo performers and teams flying a wide range of aircraft types, from vintage biplanes to modern high-performance aircraft. Some well-known civilian aerobatic performers include Jacques Bothelin, France (see Figure 1.1); Matt Hall, Australia; Rob Holland, USA; Selwyn “Scully” Levin, South Africa; Jorge Malatini, Argentina; Yoshihide Muroya, Japan; and Patty Wagstaff, USA.

Historically, civilian aerobatic performers have their roots in the barnstormers of the 1920s and 1930s, who would travel from town to town, putting on daring displays of aerial stunts in mostly surplus World War I aircraft, such as the Curtiss JN-4D, also known as the “Jenny” (see Figure 1.2).

1.2.1.2 Military Demonstration Teams

Military demonstration teams are composed of highly skilled military pilots who showcase their nation’s aviation prowess, highlight the latest technological capabilities of aerial platforms, and invariably use these demonstrations as promotional avenues for recruiting personnel into their respective military branches. These teams



FIGURE 1.1 Demonstration team leader Jacques Bothelin. Copyright: Jacques Bothelin.



FIGURE 1.2 Gladys Roy, with her opponent Ivan Unger, playing tennis, on a Curtiss “Jenny” JN-4D aircraft piloted by Frank Tomac, who kept the plane at 3,000 feet – circa 1925. Copyright: Bettman Collection / Getty Images.

often perform formation flying, showcasing high precision and coordination. Notable military demonstration teams include the Royal Australian Air Force’s “Roulettes,” the Chinese Air Force’s “Ba Yi,” the Chilean Air Force “Halcones,” the Royal Canadian Air Force Snowbirds, the Indian Air Force’s “Surya Kiran,” the Polish Air Force’s “Orlik,” the Royal Air Force’s Red Arrows, the Russian Air Force’s “Russian Knights,” the South African Air Force’s “Silver Falcons,” the United Arab Emirates Air Force’s “Fursan Al Emarat,” and the United States Navy Blue Angels.

The first military demonstration team can be traced back to the Patrouille d’Étampes, a French team formed in 1931. Following World War II, several other nations established their teams, leading to the growth and popularity of military demonstration teams worldwide.

Jet demonstrations feature high-performance aircraft, such as fighter jets and training aircraft, showcasing their speed, power, and agility. The Lockheed Martin F-16 (see Figure 1.3), the Boeing F-18, the Eurofighter Typhoon, and the Saab JAS-39 Gripen are among the most frequently demonstrated fast jets in the air shows, while the Dassault Alpha Jet, the BAE Hawk, the Aero Vodochody L-39 Albatros, and the Aermachhi MB-339 are some of the training jets flown by military demonstration teams.

Even though military transport aircraft might not be the stars of an air show in terms of acrobatic stunts, they still play significant roles in these events, showcasing their astounding size, capabilities, and engineering marvel. These aircraft, for example, Airbus A400M Atlas, Boeing C-17 Globemaster III, Alenia C-27J Spartan, the



FIGURE 1.3 F-16 Fighting Falcon of the Zeus Demo Team, Hellenic Air Force, Demonstrating at RIAT 2015. Copyright: Hellenic Air Force.

Lockheed Martin C-130 Hercules, and Ilyushin Il-76, typically perform tasks such as equipment, troop, and supply transportation. While these aircraft don't execute aerobatic maneuvers like fighter jets, they can execute impressive displays, including demonstrations of their takeoff, landing, and cargo-loading abilities. Additionally, they may participate in flyovers and formation flights with other military aircraft. Such an aircraft that steals the crowds' attention is the *Fat Albert* C-130 Hercules (see Figure 1.4). This aircraft is part of the U.S. Navy's Blue Angels flight demonstration



FIGURE 1.4 C-130 Fat Albert of the Blue Angels Demonstration Team, U.S. Navy, Demonstrating at Fargo Airshow 2015. Photo by the author (Daniel Kwasi Adjekum).

squadron and frequently opens for the Blue Angels by demonstrating its impressive short takeoff and landing capabilities.

1.2.1.3 Helicopter Performers

Helicopter performers captivate audiences with their astonishing stunts, demonstrating the impressive capabilities and agility of these aerial marvels. Some of the jaw-dropping maneuvers include gravity-defying loops, where the helicopter climbs vertically before flipping upside down and descending back to its original position, creating a full circle in the sky. They also perform exhilarating rolls, where the helicopter rotates sideways around an imaginary line running from its nose to its tail. These talented pilots showcase other thrilling moves, such as steep dives toward the ground, spinning maneuvers resembling a corkscrew, and sharp, high-speed turns that demonstrate the incredible control and stability of these versatile flying machines.

Helicopter performers must adhere to stringent safety guidelines to address the unique challenges and risks associated with their demonstrations. Unlike fixed-wing aircraft, helicopters operate with a higher degree of maneuverability and agility, which can lead to more complex and unpredictable flight patterns. Key hazards include the potential for rotor blade strikes, tail rotor issues, and loss of lift due to proximity to other helicopters or ground structures. Unlike fixed-wing aircraft, helicopters have limited energy reserves in the event of an engine failure, making autorotation (emergency landing technique) more critical. Pilots should be well-versed in autorotation procedures and practice them regularly to ensure a safe emergency landing. To mitigate these risks, helicopter performers must follow specific safety measures, such as maintaining minimum separation distances and carefully planning flight paths to avoid potential obstructions. These precautions help ensure the safety of both the performers and spectators during these thrilling aerial displays. Otto the Helicopter has been a legend of the North American air show industry, while the Royal Navy Black Cats is an example of a military helicopter display team (see Figure 1.5).

1.2.1.4 Warbird Performers

Warbird performers fly historic military aircraft, often restored to their original condition, to pay homage to their role in aviation history and honor the pilots who flew them in combat. These performances often include reenactments of historical aerial battles or demonstrations of specific aircraft capabilities. Some notable warbird performers include the Commemorative Air Force in the United States, the Fighter Collection at the Imperial War Museum Duxford in the UK, and the Flying Heritage and Combat Armor Museum located in Everett, Washington, USA.

The rise of warbird performers can indeed be attributed to the preservation and restoration efforts that began in the 1960s and 1970s. As collectors and enthusiasts sought to preserve and showcase historical military aircraft, they paved the way for the growth of warbird demonstration performances. These performances allow audiences to experience the power and elegance of vintage military aircraft, such



FIGURE 1.5 The Royal Navy Black Cats Display Team performing at RIAT, UK, 2016. Copyright: Tim Felce.

as bombers and fighter planes, while also educating them on the rich history and significance of these machines.

Warbird demonstration performers are required to comply with specific safety guidelines to ensure the safety of both the pilots and the spectators. These guidelines typically include thorough aircraft maintenance protocols, regular inspections, and adherence to safety standards set by aviation regulatory bodies.

Some well-known warbird demonstration performers include the B-25 Mitchell Bomber and the P-51 Mustang. The B-25 Mitchell Bomber is a twin-engine, medium bomber that was used extensively during World War II. It gained fame for its role in the Doolittle Raid on Tokyo, which was a key turning point in the Pacific War. The P-51 Mustang, on the other hand, is an iconic single-seat fighter aircraft that was used by the Allies during World War II and the Korean War. Its exceptional range and performance made it one of the most successful fighter planes of the era.

These warbird demonstrations not only entertain but also serve as a living tribute to the brave men and women who flew and maintained these aircraft during times of conflict. By keeping these historic aircraft flying, warbird performers help to preserve an important part of aviation history for future generations to appreciate and learn from.

1.2.1.5 Glider Performers

Glider performers utilize engineless aircraft to perform graceful and elegant aerial displays, relying solely on their skill and the forces of nature to stay aloft. These performances often include aerobatics and formation flying, demonstrating the art and science of soaring flight. Some well-known glider performers include Manfred Radius, Bob Carlton, and Luca Bertossio (see Figure 1.6).



FIGURE 1.6 Luca Bertossio glider air show performer during his display at Athens Flying Week International Air Show, 2021. Copyright: Athens Flying Week (Photo by George Markakis).

The origins of glider performers can be traced back to the early 20th century when gliding became a popular recreational activity in Europe. As technology advanced and gliders became more capable, pilots began to develop and showcase their aerobatic skills in these engineless aircraft.

Glider performers showcase the capabilities and agility of gliders, including stunts such as loops and rolls. Glider performers must comply with specific safety guidelines, including minimum separation distances between aircraft and communication procedures between pilots. The British Gliding Association (BGA) guides glider display flying (*British Gliding Association*, 2023). The Airborne Pyrotechnics display team is an example of a glider performer team.

1.2.1.6 Parachute Demonstration Teams

Parachute demonstration teams are composed of highly skilled skydivers who perform various aerial stunts while descending under their parachutes. These performances often include formation skydiving, free-flying, wingsuit flying, and canopy piloting. Some renowned parachute demonstration teams include the United States Army Golden Knights, the United States Navy Leap Frogs (see Figure 1.7), and the Red Bull Air Force.

Parachute demonstration teams emerged during the 1950s and 1960s as military units began to develop and showcase their expertise in airborne operations. Over



FIGURE 1.7 U.S. Navy Leapfrogs performing at Fargo Airshow, 2015. Photograph by the author (Daniel Kwasi Adjekum).

time, civilian skydiving teams also formed, creating a diverse range of parachute demonstration teams worldwide.

Skydiving performers include both solo and team displays, with performers jumping from aircraft and performing stunts in freefall. The British Skydiving guides display skydiving, including safety considerations and qualifications for display skydivers. The Red Bull Air Force is an example of a skydiving performer team.

1.2.1.7 Paragliding Performers

Paragliding performers use lightweight, nonmotorized aircraft to perform stunts and aerial displays, including high-speed runs and spirals. The safety guidelines for paragliding performances can vary depending on the country or organization overseeing the event, but some general rules and regulations often apply.

For the British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association (*BHPA*, 2023), the specific safety guidelines include the following:

- **Minimum altitude:** Display pilots must maintain a minimum altitude above the ground, usually between 100 and 500 feet (30–150 meters), depending on the complexity of the stunts and the location. Exact minimum altitudes might be specified by the event organizer, local aviation authorities, or the organization overseeing the event.
- **Pilot qualifications:** Display pilots should have the appropriate license or certification level to perform in an event. This often means holding an advanced pilot rating from a recognized paragliding organization such as the BHPA, the United States Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association (USHPA), or other national associations. Additionally, display pilots are usually required to have a significant amount of experience, including a minimum number of logged flights and/or hours in the air.

The Red Bull X-Alps, as a paragliding race and display event, would also adhere to safety guidelines set forth by the event organizers and the appropriate paragliding organizations. It is essential for pilots participating in such events to be highly skilled, experienced, and have a deep understanding of safety protocols.

1.2.1.8 Wing-Walking Performers

Wing-walking performers perform stunts on the wings of aircraft in flight, including handstands, acrobatics, and even transferring between planes mid-flight. Wing-walking performers use specially designed harnesses and may have additional safety equipment, such as life vests and helmets. AeroSuperBatics is one example of a wing-walking performer team (see Figure 1.8).

1.2.1.9 Radio-Controlled (RC) Aircraft Performers

The history of RC aircraft performances dates back to the 1930s when hobbyists began building and flying their model aircraft. As technology advanced, so did the capabilities and performance of these aircraft, leading to the growth of the RC aircraft performing community.

RC aircraft performers operate scale model aircraft, demonstrating exceptional piloting skills and precise aircraft control. These performances can include aerobatics, formation flying, and even reenactments of historical aerial engagements. Some notable RC aircraft performers include Jase Dussia, Frank Tiano, and the RC Kavala Acro Team (see Figure 1.9).

1.2.1.10 Drone Performers

Drone performers have emerged as a relatively recent addition to the world of air shows. These performers operate unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or drones



FIGURE 1.8 AeroSuperBatics Wingwalkers. Copyright: Tim Felce.



FIGURE 1.9 RC Kavala Acro Team is performing a vertical hovering of their RC Yak 54 model. Copyright: RC Kavala Acro Team.

equipped with LED lights, allowing for synchronized aerial light displays and choreographed performances.

Drone performances began to gain popularity in the early 2010s as advances in drone technology allowed for greater stability, precision, and control. In recent years, drone performances have garnered significant attention for their innovative and mesmerizing displays for swarms of drones, pushing the boundaries of what is possible in aerial performances.

1.2.1.11 Mix Performances

Mix performances have developed alongside the growth and diversification of air show performers, offering a fresh approach to aerial entertainment. These performances showcase the creativity and adaptability of air show performers as they continuously seek new ways to captivate and inspire their audiences.

Mix performances combine multiple categories of air show performances, creating a unique and engaging experience for the audience. Examples of mixed performances included the Red Bull Air Race, which combines aerobatics and timed racing through a challenging course of inflatable pylons, and the jet trucks racing against aircraft (see Figure 1.10).

1.2.1.12 Aircraft Innovations

Sustained interest and continuous improvements in air show performance have inspired cutting-edge designs and innovations in aircraft designs. An example is the



FIGURE 1.10 Jet truck racing against an aerobatic aircraft at Cleveland National Air Show, USA. Copyright: Erik Drost.

Jetman wing, developed by Swiss inventor Yves Rossy, which allows a pilot to fly at speeds of up to 250 mph using a jet-powered wing. Such innovations contribute to the development of aviation technology and add to the excitement and spectacle of air shows.

1.2.2 AIR BOSSES AND FLYING CONTROL COMMITTEE (FCC)

Air bosses and flying display directors play a vital role in ensuring the safety and success of air shows. They are responsible for managing the complex logistics of air shows, including air traffic management, designing and coordinating aerial displays, and managing risks during the event (see Figure 1.11). Air bosses and flying display directors must have extensive knowledge and experience in aviation and air traffic management to ensure the display is performed safely (International Council of Air Shows, 2023a; UK Civil Aviation Authority, 2023a).

To qualify for these positions, candidates must meet the specific requirements of their respective countries and organizations. In the United States, candidates must meet the qualification requirements set by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), while candidates must then complete an air boss training course and pass a written and practical exam to receive their certification (International Council of Air Shows, 2023a). Similarly, in the United Kingdom, candidates must have extensive experience in aviation and risk management to become flying display directors. They must complete a training course that covers the regulations and procedures for air shows, pass a written exam, and demonstrate their ability to manage an air show safely and efficiently (UK Civil Aviation Authority, 2023a).



FIGURE 1.11 The author (Manolis Karachalios), serving as a flying display director, is stationed in the control tower during the Athens Flying Week Air Show at Tatoi airfield, Greece, in 2013. Photo by the author.

The International Council of Air Shows (ICAS) and the European Airshow Council (EAC) also recognize the importance of air bosses and flying display directors in air shows. ICAS provides education and training for air show professionals, including the Air Boss Academy (International Council of Air Shows, 2023b), which covers topics such as air traffic management, risk management, and emergency procedures. The EAC offers a Flying Display Director Seminar (European Airshow Council, 2023) that covers the regulations and procedures for air shows in Europe, including designing and coordinating aerial displays, managing air traffic, and ensuring the safety of all participants.

In Australia, the display coordinator is appointed by the display organizer to the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (Civil Aviation Safety Authority, 2022). The display coordinator is in charge of the actual flight program and is accountable for the overall airborne component and safety of the event. In addition to the display coordinator, a display organizer may appoint a small group of experienced individuals to serve as a display committee for larger displays. Additionally, a component of air shows in Australia is the ground control coordinator, who is accountable to the display organizer. They should have a substantial and verifiable aviation background commensurate with the planned event, which enables them to identify aviation ground-based hazards and their impact on persons and property during the event.

Another function to ensure safety in air shows is applied in the United Kingdom: the Flying Control Committee (FCC), which oversees air show safety and augments

the duties of the flying display director (FDD). The UK Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) appoints the FCC for each air show, which is responsible for ensuring that the air show is conducted safely and complies with the UK CAA’s regulations and standards (UK Civil Aviation Authority, 2023a). The FDD selects the FCC, which should include pilots with experience flying the Flying Display’s aircraft and might be augmented by other air show experts.

Air bosses, flying display directors, display coordinators, and the FCC play a crucial role in ensuring the safety and success of air shows, and their importance was highlighted in the study’s findings. Air bosses serve as risk management intermediaries in air shows, bridging the gap between the regulators and the air show performers (see Figure 1.12). As such, they are held accountable for the safe execution of an air show. Ultimately, air bosses set the tone of the air show during the display safety briefing, and they must maintain the rhythm of the air show choreography until the end of the event.

1.2.3 AIR SHOW REGULATORS

In addition to the categories of air show performers listed previously, aviation authorities provide regulations and guidelines for air show performers to ensure the safety of themselves and the audience. The French civil aviation authority, Directorate General for Civil Aviation (DGAC), provides specific regulations for air show performers in France, including rules for formations and jet demonstrations (French Civil Aviation Authority, 2023). Similarly, the UK Civil Aviation Authority (UK CAA) provides safety and administrative requirements and guidance for flying displays and special events (UK Civil Aviation Authority, 2023b). In Brazil, the National Civil Aviation Agency (ANAC) provides regulations for air shows and aerial demonstrations (Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil [ANAC], 2023). ANAC’s Regulation No. 17/2015 outlines the necessary procedures and requirements for conducting these events (Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil [ANAC], 2015). Then, the South African Civil Aviation Authority (SACAA) oversees regulations for air show performers in South Africa. The South African Special Air Events (SAE) Handbook is one of the documents forming the required SAE documentation set, which specifies the requirements and restrictions applicable to air displays and special events (South African Civil Aviation Authority, 2021). Then, in the United Arab Emirates (UAE), the General Civil Aviation Authority (GCAA) is the main regulatory body responsible for overseeing the safety and security of civil aviation in the country



FIGURE 1.12 The relationship amongst air show stakeholders.

(UAE General Civil Aviation Authority, 2023). The GCAA has published requirements and standards that air show organizers, participants, and display teams must follow to ensure the safety of all involved.

Air show regulators are responsible for ensuring that air shows are conducted safely and in compliance with national and international regulations. They play a crucial role in setting standards and guidelines that organizers and performers must follow to reduce the risk of accidents and injuries. Additionally, regulators can change air show procedures to address safety concerns and new technologies or equipment.

For example, after the tragic incident at the Shoreham Airshow in the UK in 2015, air show regulators reviewed safety procedures and made significant changes (UK Air Accidents Investigation Branch, 2017). The accident was a wake-up call for the air show industry, prompting a review of safety procedures and regulations. The UK Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) implemented stricter regulations, such as requiring more detailed risk assessments from air show organizers. These changes were made to mitigate the risks of accidents and improve safety for all involved.

Another example of regulators changing air show procedures is the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in the United States. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the FAA issued guidelines for air shows that included measures to reduce the risk of virus transmission. These measures included reducing the number of spectators and requiring performers and staff to follow social distancing and hygiene protocols. The FAA's changes aimed to protect public health while allowing air shows to continue safely.

In addition to responding to specific incidents or concerns, regulators can change air show procedures to incorporate new technologies or equipment. For instance, introducing unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or drones has led to new regulations and guidelines from air show regulators. The FAA has developed guidelines for the safe operation of UAVs at air shows, including restrictions on their use during certain maneuvers or in certain areas.

In conclusion, air show regulators play a critical role in ensuring the safety of air shows. Air show regulators exist in many countries around the world, and even though their roles and responsibilities may vary depending on the region, they all align in setting standards and guidelines, conducting safety assessments, and making changes to air show procedures as needed so that they can mitigate the risks of accidents and injuries. As new technologies emerge and concerns arise, regulators will continue to play an essential role in ensuring that air shows are conducted safely and in compliance with regulations.

1.2.4 AIR SHOW STAKEHOLDERS ASSOCIATION/COLLABORATION/BONDING

In addition to the national aviation regulatory agencies, other institutions, such as the International Council of Air Shows (ICAS), the European Airshow Council (EAC), the Fédération des Spectacles Aériens (FSA), and the British Air Display Association (BADA), provide valuable support to the air show industry with their

knowledge, expertise, and industry best standards that may be more stringent than regulatory requirements. Furthermore, the Fédération Aéronautique Internationale (FAI), also known as the World Air Sports Federation, is an international organization that oversees air sports, including aerobatics, which are often featured in air shows. The FAI establishes rules and safety standards for various air sports and maintains a global network of national aviation organizations.

In recent decades, the international air show community has also made significant strides in the area of safety and risk management. The establishment of organizations such as the ICAS, the EAC, the FSA, the FAI, and the BADA has played a crucial role in promoting safety standards and best practices across the industry. These efforts have helped to ensure that air shows remain a thrilling yet secure celebration of human achievement.

1.2.5 DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION IN AIR SHOWS

The air show community has come a long way in promoting diversity and inclusivity, with performers from diverse backgrounds, genders, and ethnicities taking center stage at events across the globe. This progress reflects the growing recognition of the importance of diversity in aviation and the need to inspire future generations of pilots and performers from all walks of life. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, the air show community needs to continue fostering an environment that welcomes and celebrates diversity.

By showcasing the talents and achievements of performers from diverse backgrounds, air shows contribute to a more inclusive aviation community and inspire individuals who may have previously felt excluded or underrepresented. As we continue to break down barriers and promote inclusivity, the sky is truly the limit for what we can achieve in the world of air shows and beyond.

1.2.5.1 Historical Perspectives

There has been incremental progress in the area of diversity and inclusion within the international air show community from the early 1920s till date. Male pilots dominated the early days of air shows, but as the years progressed, more women and people of diverse backgrounds began taking to the skies. Pioneers like Bessie Coleman, the first African American woman to hold a pilot license, broke barriers and shattered stereotypes in the 1920s (Rich, 1993). Despite facing immense adversity, including racial and gender discrimination, Coleman's determination and talent inspired generations of aspiring pilots from diverse backgrounds. Another trailblazer in the world of air shows was Pancho Barnes, born as Florence Leontine Lowe. She was a pioneering aviator, stunt pilot, and founder of the first movie stunt pilots' union. Pancho Barnes set numerous aviation records, including breaking Amelia Earhart's air speed record in 1930, and her fearless attitude and skills paved the way for many female pilots that followed.

In Europe, one of the earliest female air show pilots was Marie Marvingt, a French aviator who was also an accomplished athlete, nurse, and journalist. She was

the first woman to fly combat missions as a bomber pilot during World War I and participated in numerous air shows, showcasing her skills and encouraging other women to take up flying.

Currently, Patty Wagstaff and Svetlana Kapanina are indeed remarkable contemporary female air show performers who have made significant contributions to the world of aerobatics.

Patty Wagstaff, an American aerobatic pilot, is renowned for her incredible skill and precision in the air (see Figure 1.13). Born in 1951, she began her flying career in the early 1980s and quickly gained recognition for her talent. In addition to being a three-time U.S. National Aerobatic champion, Wagstaff has also won numerous international competitions and received several prestigious awards. She was inducted into the National Aviation Hall of Fame in 2004, further solidifying her legacy in the world of aviation. Today, she continues to inspire and train the next generation of pilots through her flight school and by performing at air shows worldwide.

Svetlana Kapanina, born in 1968, is another outstanding aerobatic pilot representing Russia on the global stage. With her exceptional skills and dedication, Kapanina has secured seven World Aerobatic Champion titles in the women's category, making her one of the most successful female pilots in the history of the sport. She has also won numerous European championships and other international awards, earning the respect and admiration of her peers and fans. Kapanina continues to compete and perform in air shows, further pushing the limits of what is possible in aerobatics and inspiring future generations of pilots.

Today, organizations like Women in Aviation International (WAI), the Organization of Black Aerospace Professionals (OBAP), and the National Gay Pilots Association (NGPA) provide strong advocacy and work tirelessly to promote diversity and inclusion within the industry. By providing scholarships, mentorship



FIGURE 1.13 Patty Wagstaff, flying her Extra 300. Copyright: Patty Wagstaff.

programs, and networking opportunities, these organizations are fostering an environment where everyone, regardless of their background or identity, can succeed and thrive in aviation careers such as air show performance.

Air shows have also become platforms for showcasing the talents of diverse pilots and performers. Events such as the Sisters of the Skies outreach programs and the Warriors Over the Wasatch Air and Space Show demonstrate the power of inclusion and the importance of representation in inspiring future generations of aviators. The Sisters of the Skies outreach programs, for example, highlight the achievements and talents of women pilots, showcasing their skills and breaking down gender stereotypes. This empowers and encourages more women to pursue careers in aviation, thus increasing diversity in the field. On the other hand, the Warriors Over the Wasatch Air and Space Show focus on celebrating the contributions of disabled veterans, who may have unique experiences and skills to share. By highlighting the achievements of these veterans, the event helps to raise awareness about the capabilities of disabled individuals and fosters a more inclusive environment in the world of aviation.

Another shining example of diversity and inclusion in air shows is the WeFly! Team, an Italian aerobatic display team made up of pilots with disabilities (see Figure 1.14). Founded in 2007, the team showcases the incredible skills and resilience of disabled pilots, challenging preconceived notions about their capabilities. The WeFly! Team's performances not only demonstrate the power of determination but also serve as a powerful symbol of inclusivity in the world of aviation.

Air shows today are a melting pot of diverse performers, showcasing talent from around the globe. Pilots from different countries, cultures, and backgrounds come together to share their passion for aviation, demonstrating that the sky truly has no borders. The increased visibility of diverse pilots in air shows has helped to foster a more inclusive environment, inspiring a new generation of aviators and enthusiasts from a wide variety of demographics.



FIGURE 1.14 The WeFly! Team performing in close formation at AFW 2013, Tatoi AFB. Copyright: Athens Flying Week (Photo by WeFly!).

1.2.6 TRAILBLAZING FEMALE AIRS SHOW PERFORMERS

Numerous trailblazing female air show performers have left their mark on history, but two individuals, in particular, significantly impacted their generation: Bessie Coleman (1892–1926) and Maryse Bastié (1898–1952). Their remarkable accomplishments and tenacity inspired their contemporaries and paved the way for future generations of female aviators.

Bessie Coleman and Maryse Bastié proved that women could excel in male-dominated fields given the opportunity. Their accomplishment in aviation is a true testimony of their resilience and exceptional skills. These two aviatrixes have left a lasting legacy that continues to inspire countless numbers of women and marginalized people to be relentless in the pursuit of their dreams, even if it means challenging established conventions. Their stories highlighted in the next section show that perseverance, courage, and passion are required to overcome challenges in the quest for success.

1.2.6.1 Bessie Coleman: Breaking Barriers in the Sky

Bessie Coleman (1892–1926) was a pioneering American civil aviator who broke racial and gender barriers by becoming the first African American woman and Native American woman to hold a pilot's license (see Figure 1.15). Born in an era marked by discrimination and limited opportunities for women, especially women of color, Coleman's determination to achieve her dream of flying was nothing short of inspiring.



FIGURE 1.15 American pilot Bessie Coleman and her bi- plane circa 1922. Copyright: Michael Ochs Archives / Getty Images.

1.2.6.1.1 Early Life

Born in Texas on January 26, 1892, to a Native American father and African American mother, Bessie Coleman faced the double difficulties of racial and gender discrimination in early 20th-century America. Despite these challenges, Coleman was determined to pursue her dream of flying. Interestingly, her brother John's teasing, which included comparing her to French women who could fly, played a significant role in motivating her to seek a pilot's license.

However, Coleman's journey to becoming a pilot was far from easy. Due to her gender and race, American flight schools rejected her. Undeterred, Coleman sought the help of Robert Abbott, publisher of the well-known African American newspaper, the Chicago Defender. Abbott encouraged Coleman to save money, move to France, and pursue her pilot's license there. Taking his advice to heart, Coleman worked tirelessly to save funds and learn French, eventually moving to France in November 1920.

1.2.6.1.2 Aviation Achievements

After earning her pilot's license, Bessie Coleman returned to the United States and quickly gained fame as an air show performer, known as "Queen Bess." Her aerial stunts, which included daring loop-the-loops and barrel rolls, captivated audiences across the country. Coleman's skills as a barnstormer demonstrated her exceptional abilities as an aviator and set her apart from her contemporaries.

One of Coleman's most remarkable achievements was her first public air show on September 3, 1922, at Glenn Curtiss Field in Garden City, New York. Sponsored by the Chicago Defender, this event showcased Coleman's exceptional skills and catapulted her to national celebrity status. Her performances not only entertained audiences but also served as an inspiration for other aspiring pilots, particularly women and African Americans.

1.2.6.1.3 Legacy and Impact

Although Bessie Coleman's tragic death in 1926 cut her aviation career short, her impact on aviation history was profound. As the first African American woman and Native American woman to earn a pilot's license (National Air and Space Museum, 2021) and perform daring aerial stunts, she inspired future generations of African American and Native American pilots to break barriers in the field. Coleman's life and accomplishments demonstrated that with determination and hard work, individuals from all backgrounds could achieve success in the aviation industry.

Even after Bessie's demise, her accomplishment inspired the formation of multiple Bessie Coleman Aero Clubs, the organization of the first all African American Air show in 1931, and an annual flyover of her grave by African American pilots. Additionally, her name appeared on buildings in Harlem, further solidifying her status as a role model and trailblazer in aviation. Coleman gained fame outside the black community over time. In the afterword to "Queen Bess: Daredevil Aviator," Mae Jemison, the first African-American woman in space in 1992, (Slotnik, 2019) writes that she was "embarrassed and saddened that I did not learn of her until my

spaceflight beckoned on the horizon.” Jemison carried a photo of Coleman into orbit, traveling higher than Coleman had dreamt.

As a trailblazer in the aviation industry, Bessie Coleman’s accomplishments continue to inspire and motivate individuals from diverse backgrounds to pursue their dreams. Her remarkable journey from humble beginnings to becoming an aviation icon serves as a testament to her resilience and tenacity. Coleman’s story is a powerful reminder that with passion and determination, one can overcome seemingly insurmountable obstacles.

1.2.6.2 Maryse Bastié: A Trailblazer in the Skies

Maryse Bastié (1898–1952) was a pioneering French aviator who shattered gender barriers and set multiple records during her illustrious career (Fédération Aéronautique Internationale [FAI], 2019). Bastié’s achievements were awe-inspiring, considering the societal norms and expectations of women during her time. She demonstrated exceptional skill, courage, and determination, inspiring a new generation of female pilots, such as Jacqueline Auriol and Hélène Boucher, to continue breaking barriers in aviation.

1.2.6.2.1 *Early Life*

Maryse Bastié was born Marie-Louise Bombec on February 27, 1898. She faced considerable hardships throughout her life, starting with her father’s death when she was just 11 years old. As a result, Bastié was forced to work in a factory to support her family.

Despite her tragedies, Bastié was determined to rise above her circumstances. In 1921, she met and married her second husband, the World War I pilot Leon Bathiat. It was through him that Bastié discovered her passion for aviation.

1.2.6.2.2 *Aviation Achievements*

Maryse Bastié’s aviation career began in 1925 when she obtained her pilot’s license. This was a remarkable accomplishment, considering that few women were allowed to fly at the time. Bastié quickly gained recognition for her skills, and in 1927, she set the women’s world record for the longest solo flight, covering a distance of 1,243 miles.

Bastié continued to break records throughout her career. In 1929, she set the women’s world record for endurance, flying for 26 hours and 47 minutes. In 1931, she broke the women’s world speed record, reaching an average speed of 126.48 miles per hour (Pujol, 2014). Her most significant achievement came in 1936 when she established a new world record for the longest nonstop flight by a woman, flying 2,976 miles from Paris to Moscow in 37 hours and 55 minutes.

Bastié received numerous awards for her accomplishments, including the Harmon Trophy in 1931 and the Légion d’honneur in 1932. In 1935, she was promoted to the rank of captain in the French Air Force.

1.2.6.2.3 *Legacy and Impact*

Maryse Bastié’s groundbreaking achievements in aviation had a profound impact on women’s involvement in the field. She served as a role model for other female

The Evolution of Air Show Performers

- Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (ANAC) . (2015). Relatório de análise das contribuições referentes à audiência pública no 17/2015, do regulamento Brasileiro da aviação civil no 91 e do Regulamento Brasileiro da aviação civil no 01. Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (ANAC). <https://www.gov.br/anac/pt-br/acao-a-informacao/participacao-social/consultas-publicas/audiencias/2015/17/ap172015rac.pdf/view>
- Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (ANAC) . (2023, April 4). National Civil Aviation Agency. Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (ANAC). <https://www.gov.br/anac/en/national-civil-aviation-agency>
- Air Accidents Investigation Branch . (2017). Report on the accident to Hawker Hunter T7, G-BXFI near Shoreham airport on 22 August 2015 (Aircraft Accident Report No. 1/2017; p. 452). Department of Transport, United Kingdom. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/58b9247740f0b67ec80000fc/AAR_1-2017_G-BXFI.pdf
- Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (AOPA) . (2009, July 5). The "blackbirds": The story of the first all-black aerobatic team. <https://www.aopa.org/news-and-media/all-news/2009/july/pilot/the-blackbirds>
- Baker, D. (1994). Flight and flying: A chronology. Facts on File. <http://archive.org/details/flightflyingchro0000bake>
- Bremner, C. (2008, April 7). French pilots show women can fly. Times Online - WBLG. https://web.archive.org/web/20080407080433/http://timescorrespondents.typepad.com/charles_bremner/2008/04/post.html
- British Gliding Association. (2023). British Gliding Association. <https://www.gliding.co.uk/>
- British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association. (2023). British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association. <https://www.bhpa.co.uk/>
- Casey, L. S. (1981). Curtiss, the hammondsport era, 1907–1915. Crown Publishers. <http://archive.org/details/curtisshammondsp0000case>
- Civil Aviation Safety Authority . (2022). AC 91-21, air displays. Australian Government. <https://www.casa.gov.au/air-displays>
- Dekker, S. (2017). The field guide to understanding "human error" (3rd ed.). CRC Press. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781317031833/field-guide-understanding-human-error-sidney-dekker>
- European Airshow Council . (2023). EAC: Flying display directors safety seminar. EAC: Flying Display Directors Safety Seminar. <https://www.europeanairshow.org/copy-of-fast-jet-safety-workshop>
- Federal Aviation Administration . (2016). Pilot's handbook of aeronautical knowledge (2016th ed.). Federal Aviation Administration. https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/handbooks_manuals/aviation/phak/media/pilot_handbook.pdf
- Fédération Aéronautique Internationale (FAI) . (2019, July 28). Ninety years since Maryse Bastié became the first woman to set an aviation world record. <https://www.fai.org/news/ninety-years-maryse-bastie%20became-first-woman-set-aviation-world-record>
- Finlay, M. , & Joshi, G. (2022, August 8). Ramstein and Sknyliv: The world's deadliest air show disasters. Simpleflying. <https://simpleflying.com/ramstein-sknyliv-disasters/>
- French Civil Aviation Authority . (2023). French Civil Aviation Authority, Directorate General for Civil Aviation (DGAC). Ministry of Ecological Transition. <https://www.ecologie.gouv.fr/en/french-civil-aviation-authority>
- Friedlander, M. P. , & Gurney, G. (1973). Higher, faster, and farther. Morrow. <http://archive.org/details/higherfasterfart0000frie>
- Froseyevych, L. (2006, January 28). Prosecutorial aerobatics after the Sknyliv tragedy [Obozrevatel - Translated from the original article in Ukrainian]. <https://www.obozrevatel.com/ukr/author-column/17369-prokurorskij-pilotazh-pislya-sknivskoi-tragedii.htm>
- Harris, S. (1991). The first to fly: Aviation's pioneer days. Tab/Aero Books. <http://archive.org/details/firsttoflyaviati0000harr>
- Hellenic Air Force (HAF) . (n.d.). First airplane to ever fly in Greece. Hellenic Air Force. Retrieved May 7, 2023, from <https://www.haf.gr/en/history/historical-aircraft/nieuport-ivg/>

International Council of Air Shows . (2023a). Air Boss Recognition Program (ABRP) Manual. International Council of Air Shows. <https://airshows.aero/GetDoc/4140>

International Council of Air Shows . (2023b). ICAS: Air Boss Academy. ICAS: Air Boss Academy. <https://airshows.aero/CMS/AirBossAcademy>

Jablonski, E. (1980). *Man with wings: A pictorial history of aviation*. Doubleday. <http://archive.org/details/manwithwingspict0000jabl>

Janis, I. L. (1972). *Victims of groupthink: A psychological study of foreign-policy decisions and fiascoes* (pp. viii, 277). Houghton Mifflin.

Kozyrieva, T. (2009). Two Sknyliv boys seven years later. УКРАЇНСЬКА ПРЕС-ГРУПА. <https://day.kyiv.ua/en/article/society/two-sknyliv-boys-seven-years-later>

Matshili, R. (2019, May 27). Major Mandisa Mfeka flying high at inauguration. Pretoria News. <https://www.iol.co.za/pretoria-news/news/major-mandisa-mfeka-flying-high-at-inauguration-24180047>

McIntyre, G. (2017, August 8). Anna Serbinenko: From Swiss banker to Sky Dancer. *Vancouver Sun*. <https://vancouver.sun.com/news/local-news/anna-serbinenko-from-swiss-banker-to-sky-dancer>

MistyBlues . (2023). Meet the Mistys. *MistyBlues.Net*. <https://mistyblues.net/meet-the-mistys/>

National Air and Space Museum . (2021, November 1). First American women in flight. <https://airandspace.si.edu/stories/editorial/first-american-women-flight>

Neubeck, K. (2020). *The Thunderbirds: The United States Air Force's flight demonstration team, 1953 to the present*. Schiffer Military. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/51343685-the-thunderbirds>

Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(2), 175–220. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.2.175>

Onkst, D. H. (n.d.). Early exhibition aviators. U.S. Centennial of Flight Commission. Retrieved May 7, 2023, from https://www.centennialofflight.net/essay/Explorers_Record_Setters_and_Daredevils/early_exhibition/EX7.htm

Oshinuga, A. (2023). Air Oshinuga. *Air Oshinuga*. <https://www.anthoniyoshinuga.com/>

Pujol, C. (2014). Maryse Bastié, pionnière de l'aviation française: Entre exploits sportifs et engagement patriotique. *Le Mouvement Social*, 248(4), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lms.248.0067>

Prendergast, C. (1980). *The first aviators*. Time-Life Books. <http://archive.org/details/firstaviators00pren>

Przybylski, A. K. , Murayama, K. , DeHaan, C. R. , & Gladwell, V. (2013). Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29, 1841–1848. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.02.014>

Radio Free Europe/ Radio Liberty . (2022, July 27). Remembering Skynliv: The deadliest air show disaster in history. <https://www.rferl.org/a/remembering-skylniv-deadliest-air-show-aviation-history/31961756.html>

Reichhardt, T. (2010, September 1). Pégoud flies upside down. *Air & Space Magazine*, *Smithsonian Magazine*. <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/air-space-magazine/pegoud-flies-upside-down-1913-143766023/>

RIAT . (2020, March 3). Pilot profile: Kirsty Murphy—First female Red Arrow. The Royal International Air Tattoo. <https://www.airtattoo.com/news/2019/mar/08/pilot-profile-kirsty-murphy-first-female-red-arrow>

Rich, D. L. (1993). *Queen Bess: Daredevil aviator*. Smithsonian Institution Press. <http://archive.org/details/queenbessdaredev00rich>

Schmader, T. , Johns, M. , & Forbes, C. (2008). An integrated process model of stereotype threat effects on performance. *Psychological Review*, 115(2), 336–356. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.115.2.336>

South African Civil Aviation Authority . (2021). *The South African special air events handbook*. South African Civil Aviation Authority. <https://caasanwebsitestorage.blob.core.windows.net/ga-tgm/The%20South%20African%20Special%20Air%20Events%20Handbook.pdf>

Slotnik, D. E. . (2019, December 11). Overlooked No More: Bessie Coleman, Pioneering African-American Aviatrix. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/11/obituaries/bessie-coleman-overlooked.html>

Steele, C. M. (1997). A threat in the air. How stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance. *The American Psychologist*, 52(6), 613–629. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.52.6.613>

Stone, R. (Director). (2021, November 15). *Blue Angels: Around the world at the speed of sound* [2 hours and 10 minutes]. https://www.amazon.com/Blue-Angels-Around-World-Special/dp/B07RD1PTL2/ref=tmm_aiv_swatch_0?_encoding=UTF8&qid=&sr=

Sydney Morning Herald . (2002, July 28). 78 killed in deadly air-show crash. <https://www.smh.com.au/world/78-killed-in-deadly-air-show-crash-20020728-gdfhq8.html>

The Red Baron Team . (2023). The Red Baron Team. The Red Baron Team. <https://www.redbaron.com.au/our-team>

Thompson, S. C. (1999). Illusions of control: How we overestimate our personal influence. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 8, 187–190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00044>

UAE General Civil Aviation Authority . (2023). UAE General Civil Aviation Authority. UAE General Civil Aviation Authority. <https://www.gcaa.gov.ae/en/home>

UK Air Accidents Investigation Branch . (2017). Aircraft accident report AAR 1/2017—G-BXFI, 22 August 2015 (Aircraft Accident Report AAR 1/2017). UK Air Accidents Investigation Branch. <https://www.gov.uk/aaib-reports/aircraft-accident-report-aar-1-2017-g-bxfi-22-august-2015>

UK Civil Aviation Authority . (2023a). CAP 403: Flying displays and special events: Safety and administrative requirements and guidance. UK Civil Aviation Authority. <https://publicapps.caa.co.uk/docs/33/CAP403%20Edition%202020.pdf>

UK Civil Aviation Authority . (2023b). Civil Aviation Authority. Civil Aviation Authority. <https://www.caa.co.uk/home/>

U.S. Air Force . (2023). U. S. Air Force Demonstration Team: The Thunderbirds. US Air Force. <https://www.airforce.com/thunderbirds/overview>

U.S. Navy . (2023). U.S. Navy Blue Angels. U.S. Navy Blue Angels. <https://www.blueangels.navy.mil/>

Veronico, N. A. (2005). *The Blue Angels: A fly-by history, Sixty years of aerial excellence*. Motorbooks International. https://openlibrary.org/books/OL8011569M/The_Blue_Angels_A_Fly-By_History

Wang, L. , & Zhang, J. (2020). The effect of psychological risk elements on pilot flight operational performance. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, 30(1), 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hfm.20816>

Whitehouse, A. (1965). *The early birds: The wonders and heroics of the first decades of flight*. Doubleday & Company, Inc. <http://archive.org/details/earlybirdswonder0000arch>

You, X. , Ji, M. , & Han, H. (2013). The effects of risk perception and flight experience on airline pilots' locus of control with regard to safety operation behaviors. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 57, 131–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.03.036>

Brooks-Pazmany, K. (1991). *United States women in aviation, 1919–1929*. Smithsonian Institution Press.

Caidin, M. (1991). *Barnstorming*. New York: Bantam Books.

Cleveland, C. M. (1978). *Upside-down Pangborn: King of the barnstormers*. Aviation Book Company.

Cooper, A. L. (1993). *On the wing: Jessie Woods and the Flying Aces Air Circus*. Black Hawk Publishing Co.

Corley-Smith, P. (1989). *Barnstorming to bush flying: British Columbia's aviation pioneers, 1910–1930*. SONO NIS Press.

Corn, J. J. (1983). *The winged gospel: America's romance with aviation, 1900–1950*. Oxford University Press.

Dwiggins, D. (1966). *The air devils: The story of balloonists, barnstormers, and stunt pilots*. J.B. Lippincott Company.

Freydberg, E. H. (1994). *Bessie Coleman: The Brownskin Lady Bird*. Garland Publishing, Inc.

Gibbs-Smith, C. H. (1970). *Aviation: An historical survey from its origins to the end of World War II*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

Glines, C. V. (1995). *Roscoe Turner: Aviation's master showman*. Smithsonian Institution Press.

Hardesty, V. , & Pisano, D. (1984). *Black wings: The American Black in aviation*. Smithsonian Institution Press.

Hart, P. S. (1992). *Flying free: America's first Black aviators*. Lerner Publication Company.

Hatfield, D. D. (1976). *Dominguez air meet*. Northrop University Press.

Launius, R. D. , & Embry, J. L. (1996). *The 1910 Los Angeles airshow: The beginnings of air awareness in the west*. Southern California Quarterly.

Lechner, J. V. (Ed.). (2003). *The Allyn & Bacon anthology of traditional literature*. Allyn & Bacon/Longman.

March, J. R. (2014). *Dictionary of classical mythology* (2nd ed.). Oxbow Books.

Marrero, F. (1997). *Lincoln Beachey: The man who owned the sky*. Scottwall Associates.

Moolman, V. (1981). *Women aloft*. Time-Life Books.

O'Neil, P. (1981). *Barnstormers and speed kings*. Time-Life Books.

Reinhardt, R. (1995). *Day of the daredevil*. American Heritage of Invention & Technology.

Rhode, B. (1970). *Bailing wire, chewing gum and guts: The story of the Gates Flying Circus*. Kennikat Press.

Rich, D. L. (1998). *The magnificent Moisants: Champions of early flight*. Smithsonian Institution Press.

Ronnie, A. (1973). *Locklear: The man who walked on wings*. A.S. Barnes and Company.

Tessendorf, K. C. (1988). *Barnstormers and daredevils*. Atheneum.

Jenkins, A. C. (1975). *Barnstormers*. In *Airborne*. Blackie.

Barnstorming and air mail. *Prairie Public*. <http://www.prairiepublic.org/features/RRRA/air.html>

Barnstorming and early pilots in the mid 27. *Columbia area*.
<http://www.angelfire.com/me/mcalch/barn2.html>

Barnstorming to bush flying. *Stuart Graham Papers*. <http://collections.ic.gc.ca/sgraham/barn.htm>

Bessie Coleman. *Allstar Project*. <http://www.allstar.fiu.edu/aero/coleman.html>

Bessie Coleman. *Public Broadcasting System*.
<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/amex/flygirls/peopleevents/panbeAMEX02.html>

Bessie Coleman. *ThinkQuest Library*.
<http://library.thinkquest.org/10320/coleman.htm?tskip1=1&tqtime=0313>

Biography: Bessie Coleman. *ThinkQuest Internet Challenge Library*.
<http://library.thinkquest.org/21229/bio/bcole.html>

Charles H. Hubbell, 1899–1971. *Barnstormers Art*.
<http://www.barnstormer.com/charleshubbell.htm>

Earle, J. (n.d.). *Barnstorming pilots always drew a crowd*. *Wings Over Kansas*.
<http://www.wingsoverkansas.com/history/aviation-pioneers/barnstorming.html>

Fun facts about barnstormers. <http://www.angelfire.com/me/mcalch/barn2.html>

Information about and how to fly the Curtiss Jenny barnstormer. *Fiddler's Green*.
http://www.fiddlersgreen.net/aircraft/WWI/jenny/jenn_info/jenn_info.html

International society of aviation barnstorming historians. *Crossroads Access Corinth History*.
http://www2.tsixroads.com/Corinth_MLSANDY/isabh.html

McCullough, D. (n.d.). *Daredevil Lindbergh and his barnstorming days*.
<http://airports.fai.org/jun2000/jun200005.html> or
<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/amex/lindbergh/sfeature/daredevil.html>

National Air and Space Museum . (1999). *African American pioneers in aviation teacher guide 1920–Present*. <https://airandspace.si.edu/files/pdf/explore-and-learn/teaching-posters/aviation.pdf>

Oertly, L. (n.d.). *The legacy of Bessie Coleman*. *Federal Aviation Administration*.
<http://www.faa.gov/avr/news/Bessie.html>

Scott, P. (2012, June 1). *Blackbirds and the colored air circus of 1931*. *Airfact Journal*.
<https://airfactsjournal.com/2012/06/blackbirds-and-the-colored-air-circus-of-1931/>

Walt Pierce. *American Barnstormer*. <http://www.Americanbarnstormer.com/people.html>

Risk Management

- Aero-News Network . (2013, June 24). John Klatt down safe after engine loss during airshow. Aero-News Network. <http://www.aero-news.net/index.cfm?do=main.textpost&id=7f0e8522-e6c1-4aff-ba49-d3be9a18d1c7>
- Aero-News Network . (2022, November 7). DHC-1 Chipmunk survives antenna collision during airshow. <http://www.aero-news.net/index.cfm?do=main.textpost&id=cf57feec-464a-486e-b610-78382da676a0>
- Air Accidents Investigation Branch . (2017). Report on the accident to Hawker Hunter T7, G-BXFI near Shoreham airport on 22 August 2015 (Aircraft Accident Report No. 1/2017; p. 452). Department of Transport, United Kingdom. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/58b9247740f0b67ec80000fc/AAR_1-2017_G-BXFI.pdf
- Andersen, J. P. , Papazoglou, K. , Gustafsberg, H. , Collins, P. , & Arnetz, B. (2016, March 9). Mental preparedness training. FBI - The Law Enforcement Bulletin. <https://leb.fbi.gov/articles/featured-articles/mental-preparedness-training>
- Athens Flying Week International Air Show (AFW) . (2023). Athens Flying Week International Air Show. Athens Flying Week International Air Show. <https://www.athensflyingweek.gr/>
- Aumeboonsuke, V. , & Caplanova, A. (2021). An analysis of impact of personality traits and mindfulness on risk aversion of individual investors | SpringerLink. *Current Psychology*, 42, 6800–6817.
- Aviation Safety Network . (2007, September 1). Accident Zlín 526AFS Akrobat Special SP-ELE. Accident Zlín 526AFS Akrobat Special SP-ELE. <https://aviation-safety.net/wikibase/21536>
- Aviation Safety Network . (2022, August 14). Accident Hawker Hurricane Mk IV OO-HUR. <https://aviation-safety.net/wikibase/281539>
- Barker, D. (2003). Zero error margin: Airshow display flying analysed. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261136368_Zero_Error_Margin_-_Airshow_Display_Flying_Analysed
- Barker, D. (2020a). Anatomy of airshow accidents (1st ed., Vol. 1). International Council of Air Shows, International Air Show Safety Team.
- Barker, D. (2020b, December). Air show accidents a statistical and preventative perspective. ICAS Convention. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=29zT0edEAUQ&t=877s>
- BBC News . (2002, July 29). Pilots blamed for Ukraine air disaster. BBC News. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/2159332.stm>
- BFU Switzerland . (2005). Aircraft accident investigation report: Pilatus PC-21, HB-HZB, 13 January 2005 (Aircraft Accident Investigation Report No. 1909; p. 67). Aircraft Accident Investigation Bureau AAIB. https://www.sust.admin.ch/inhalte/AV-berichte/1909_d.pdf
- Casey, J. (2007, December 13). Fatal 2006 air show crash. Oregonlive.com. https://www.oregonlive.com/washingtoncounty/2007/12/fatal_2006_air_show_crash.html
- Chen, C.-F. , & Chen, S.-C. (2014). Measuring the effects of Safety Management System practices, morality leadership and self-efficacy on pilots' safety behaviors: Safety motivation as a mediator. *Safety Science*, 62, 376–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.09.013>
- Chionis, D. , & Karanikas, N. (2018). Differences in risk perception factors and behaviours amongst and within professionals and trainees in the aviation engineering domain. *Aerospace*, 5, Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace5020062>
- Crundall, D. , van Loon, E. , Stedmon, A. W. , & Crundall, E. (2013). Motorcycling experience and hazard perception. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 50, 456–464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2012.05.021>
- Daily Sabah . (2022, December 6). Military plane crashes in central Türkiye, pilot survives. Daily Sabah. <https://www.dailysabah.com/turkey/military-plane-crashes-in-central-turkiye-pilot-survives/news>
- Dekker, S. (2014). *Safety differently: Human factors for a new era* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
- Dolbeer, R. A. (2011). Increasing trend of damaging bird strikes with aircraft outside the airport boundary: Implications for mitigation measures. *Human–Wildlife Interactions*, 5(2), 235–248.
- Drinkwater, J. , & Molesworth, B. (2010). Pilot see, pilot do: Examining the predictors of pilots' risk management behaviour. *Safety Science*, 48(10), 1445–1451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2010.07.001>
- Eftthymiou, M. , Whiston, S. , O'Connell, J. F. , & Brown, G. D. (2021). Flight crew evaluation of the flight time limitations regulation. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 9(1), 280–290.

Federal Aviation Administration . (2020a). Chapter 6: Issue a certificate of waiver or authorization for an aviation event. In *Flight standards information management system* (pp. 3-141-3–3-14160). Federal Aviation Administration.
https://fsims.faa.gov/wdocs/8900.1/v03%20tech%20admin/chapter%2006/03_006_001.htm

Federal Aviation Administration . (2020b). Order 8000.369C – Safety Management System. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).
https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/orders_notices/index.cfm/go/document.current/documentNumber/8000.369

Federal Aviation Administration . (2021). *Airplane Flying Handbook* (FAA-H-8083-3C). Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).
https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/handbooks_manuals/aviation/airplane_handbook

Federal Aviation Administration . (2022). *Risk Management Handbook* (FAA-H-8083-2A). Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).
<https://www.faa.gov/regulationspolicies/handbooksmanuals/risk-management-handbook-faa-h-8083-2a>

Ferraro, R. , VanDyke, D. , Zander, M. , Anderson, K. , & Kuehlen, B. (2015). Risk perception in aviation students: Weather matters. *International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.15394/ijaaa.2015.1044>

Gibson, R. J. , Michayluk, D. , & Van de Venter, G. (2013). Financial risk tolerance: An analysis of unexplored factors. *Financial Services Review*, 22, 23–50.
<https://opus.lib.uts.edu.au/handle/10453/23532>

Hallahan, T. A. , Faff, R. W. , & McKenzie, M. D. (2004). An empirical investigation of personal financial risk tolerance. *Financial Services Review*, 13, 57–78. <https://doi.org/10.1.1.392.58>

Harris, D. (2011). *Human performance in the flight deck*. CRC Press.

Heath, C. , & Heath, D. (2013). *Decisive: How to make better choices in life and work*. Crown Publishers.

Hollnagel, E. (2006). *Resilience: The challenge of the unstable*. Ashgate.
<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-38166>

Hunter, D. R. (2002). Risk perception and risk tolerance in aircraft pilots (Technical Report DOT/FAA/AM-02/17; p. 26). Office of Aerospace Medicine, Federal Aviation Administration.
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/David-Hunter-27/publication/235148351_Risk_Perception_and_Risk_Tolerance_in_Aircraft_Pilots/links/00b7d518ab8b52526a000000/Risk-Perception-and-Risk-Tolerance-in-Aircraft-Pilots.pdf

ICAO . (2018). Doc 9859, safety management manual. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). <https://skybrary.aero/sites/default/files/bookshelf/5863.pdf>

International Council of Air Shows . (n.d.). International Council of Air Shows. International Council of Air Shows (ICAS). Retrieved May 11, 2023, from <https://airshows.aero/>

International Council of Air Shows . (2012, March 8). ICAS individual risk management worksheet. ICAS. <https://airshows.aero/ViewDoc/2781>

International Council of Air Shows . (2023). *Air Boss Recognition Program (ABRP) Manual*. International Council of Air Shows. <https://airshows.aero/GetDoc/4140>

Jensen, R. S. , & Benel, R. A. (1977). Judgment evaluation and instruction in civil pilot training (No. FAA-RD-78-24 Final Rpt.). <https://trid.trb.org/view/75609>

Ji, M. , You, X. , Lan, J. , & Yang, S. (2011). The impact of risk tolerance, risk perception and hazardous attitude on safety operation among airline pilots in China. *Safety Science*, 49(10), 1412–1420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.06.007>

Joseph, C. , & Reddy, S. (2013). Risk perception and safety attitudes in Indian army aviators. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 23(1), 49–62.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10508414.2013.746531>

Kaplan, R. S. , & Mikes, A. (2012, June). Managing risks: A new framework. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=42549>

Karachalios, E. “Manolis.”. (2022). An evaluation of the relationships between resilient safety culture, safety risk parameters, and mindfulness in the international air show community [PhD Dissertation, University North Dakota]. <https://commons.und.edu/theses/4267/>

Lam, J. (2014). *Enterprise risk management: From incentives to controls* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
<https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Enterprise+Risk+Management%3A+From+Incentives+to+Controls%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9781118413616>

Martinussen, M. , & Hunter, D. R. (2018). *Aviation psychology and human factors* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.

Metzler, M. M. (2020). G-LOC due to the push-pull effect in a fatal F-16 mishap. *Aerospace Medicine and Human Performance*, 91(1), 51–55. <https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.5461.2020>

National Transport Safety Board . (2022). Mid-air collision between a B-17 and P-63 (Aircraft Accident Investigation Report CEN23MA034; p. Not available). National Transport Safety Board. <https://www.nts.gov/investigations/Pages/CEN23MA034.aspx>

National Transport Safety Board (NTSB) . (2011). Aviation accident at the Reno Air Race (Aircraft Accident Investigation Report WPR11MA454; p. 52). National Transport Safety Board. <https://www.nts.gov/investigations/pages/WPR11MA454.aspx>

Newman, D. G. (1997). +GZ-induced neck injuries in Royal Australian Air Force fighter pilots—PubMed. *Aviat Space Environ Med*, 68(6), 520–524.

O'Hare, D. (1990). Pilots' perception of risks and hazards in general aviation. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 61(7), 599–603.

Radio Free Europe/ Radio Liberty . (2022, July 27). Remembering Skynliv: The deadliest air show disaster in history. Remembering Skynliv: The Deadliest Air Show Disaster in History. <https://www.rferl.org/a/remembering-skynliv-deadliest-air-show-aviation-history/31961756.html>

Reason, J. (1991). *Human error* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Royal Air Force, United Kingdom . (2023). Red Arrows, Royal Air Force. Red Arrows, Royal Air Force. <https://www.raf.mod.uk/display-teams/red-arrows/>

Royal Canadian Air Force . (2019, December 6). Canadian Forces Snowbirds return to operations [Not available]. Royal Canadian Air Force. <https://www.canada.ca/en/departement-national-defence/maple-leaf/rcaf/2019/12/canadian-forces-snowbirds-return-to-operations.html>

Scheid, T. L. , & Wright, E. R. (Eds.). (2017). *A handbook for the study of mental health* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://www.cambridge.org/gr/academic/subjects/psychology/health-and-clinical-psychology/handbook-study-mental-health-social-contexts-theories-and-systems-3rd-edition?format=HB&isbn=9781107134874>

Schopf, A. K. , Stouten, J. , & Schaufeli, W. B. (2021). The role of leadership in air traffic safety employees' safety behavior. *Safety Science*, 135, 105118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.105118>

Sjoberg, L. , Moen, B.-E. , & Rundmo, T. (2004). Explaining risk perception. An evaluation of the psychometric paradigm in risk perception research (p. 39). Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Psychology.

Stolzer, A. J. , & Goglia, J. J. (2016). *Safety management systems in aviation* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Tchankova, L. (2002). Risk identification – Basic stage in risk management. *Environmental Management and Health*, 13(3), 290–297. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09566160210431088>

UK Air Accidents Investigation Branch . (2017). Aircraft accident report AAR 1/2017—G-BXFI, 22 August 2015 (Aircraft Accident Report AAR 1/2017). UK Air Accidents Investigation Branch. <https://www.gov.uk/aaib-reports/aircraft-accident-report-aar-1-2017-g-bxfi-22-august-2015>

UK Civil Aviation Authority . (2023a). CAP 403: Flying displays and special events: Safety and administrative requirements and guidance. UK Civil Aviation Authority. <https://publicapps.caa.co.uk/docs/33/CAP403%20Edition%2020.pdf>

UK Civil Aviation Authority . (2023b). Introduction to bowtie: How this risk assessment tool works. Introduction to Bowtie. <https://www.caa.co.uk/safety-initiatives-and-resources/working-with-industry/bowtie/about-bowtie/introduction-to-bowtie/>

U.S. Air Force . (1994). Summary of AFR 110-14 USAF accident investigation board report (Aircraft Accident Investigation Report No. 110–14). United States Air Force. <https://www.baaa-acro.com/crash/crash-boeing-b-52h-stratofortress-fairchild-afb-4-killed>

U.S. Air Force . (2018). United States Air Force aircraft accident investigation board report, F-16CM, T/N 91-0413, 4 April 2018 (p. 37) [Aircraft Accident Investigation Report]. United States Air Force. https://www.acc.af.mil/Portals/92/AIB/180404_ACC_Creech_F16_Thunderbird_AIB_Narrative_Report.pdf?ver=2018-10-16-132501-787

U.S. Navy . (2016). Report on June fatal Blue Angels crash. U.S. Naval Institute. <https://news.usni.org/2016/09/16/document-report-june-fatal-blue-angels-crash>

Vaughan, D. (2016). *The challenger launch decision: Risky technology, culture, and deviance at NASA*. University of Chicago Press.
<https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/C/bo22781921.html>

Wagstaff, P. (2016, February 6). The life of an air show pilot. *Plane & Pilot Magazine*.
<https://www.planeandpilotmag.com/article/the-life-of-an-air-show-pilot-2/>

Wiegmann, D. A. , & Shapell, S. A. (2016). *A human error approach to aviation accident analysis: The human factors analysis and classification system* (1st ed.). Routledge.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315263878/human-error-approach-aviation-accident-analysis-douglas-wiegmann-scott-shappell>

Winter, S. , Truong, D. , & Keebler, J. (2019). The flight risk perception scale (FRPS): A modified risk perception scale for measuring risk of pilots in aviation. *International Journal of Aviation Research*, 11(1), 57–72. <https://doi.org/10.22488/okstate.19.100407>

You, X. , Ji, M. , & Han, H. (2013). The effects of risk perception and flight experience on airline pilots' locus of control with regard to safety operation behaviors. *Accident; Analysis and Prevention*, 57C, 131–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.03.036>

Air Show Culture

Adjekum, D. K. , & Fernandez Tous, M. (2020). Assessing the relationship between organizational management factors and a resilient safety culture in a collegiate aviation program with Safety Management Systems (SMS). *Safety Science*, 131, 104909.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104909>

Akselsson, R. , Koornneef, F. , Stewart, S. , & Ward, M. (2009a). Resilience Safety culture in aviation organisations. In *HILAS: Human integration in the lifecycle of aviation systems*, 20.

Akselsson, R. , Koornneef, F. , Stewart, S. , & Ward, M. (2009b). Resilience safety culture in aviation organisations.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254908155_Resilience_Safety_Culture_in_Aviation_Organisations

Cantu, J. , Tolk, J. , Fritts, S. , & Gharehyakheh, A. (2020). High Reliability Organization (HRO) systematic literature review: Discovery of culture as a foundational hallmark. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 28(4), 399–410. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12293>

Clarke, S. (2000). Safety culture: Under-specified and overrated? *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 2(1), 65–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2370.00031>

Dunning, D. (2011). The Dunning–Kruger effect: On being ignorant of one's own ignorance. In J. M. Olson & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 44, pp. 247–296). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385522-0.00005-6>

Federal Aviation Administration . (2022). *Risk management handbook* (FAA-H-8083-2A). Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).
<https://www.faa.gov/regulationspolicies/handbooksmanuals/risk-management-handbook-faa-h-8083-2a>

Glendon, I. , & Stanton, N. (2000). Perspectives on safety culture. *Safety Science*, 34(1–3), 193–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535\(00\)00013-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535(00)00013-8)

Harris, D. (2011). *Human performance in the flight deck*. CRC Press.

Heese, M. , Kallus, W. , & Kolodej, C. (2014). Assessing behaviour towards organizational resilience in aviation. *Proceedings of the 5th resilience engineering association symposium* (pp. 67–73).

Hofstede, G. , Hofstede, G. J. , & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind; intercultural cooperation and its importance for survival* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Hollnagel, E. (2010). How resilient is your organisation? An introduction to the Resilience Analysis Grid (RAG). *Sustainable transformation: Building a resilient organization*. <https://hal-mines-paristech.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00613986>

Hollnagel, E. (2014). *Safety-I and safety-II: The past and future of safety management*. CRC Press.

Hollnagel, E. , Pâriès, J. , Woods, D. D. , & Wreathall, J. (2011). *Resilience engineering in practice: A guidebook*. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.

ICAO . (2018). Doc 9859, safety management manual. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). <https://skybrary.aero/sites/default/files/bookshelf/5863.pdf>

Liao, M.-Y. (2015). Safety culture in commercial aviation: Differences in perspective between Chinese and Western pilots. *Safety Science*, 79, 193–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.05.011>

Mearns, K. J. , & Flin, R. (2018). Assessing the state of organizational safety-culture or climate? In *Validation in psychology* (pp. 5–20). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351300247-1>

Merritt, A. , & Helmreich, R. L. (1996). Creating and sustaining a safety culture: Some practical strategies (in aviation). In *Applied aviation psychology – Achievement, change, and challenge* (pp. 20–26).

Patankar, M. S. , Brown, J. P. , Sabin, E. J. , & Bigda-Peyton, T. G. (2012). *Safety culture: Building and sustaining a cultural change in aviation and healthcare*. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.

Pillay, M. , Borys, D. , Else, D. , & Tuck, M. (2010). *Safety culture and resilience engineering: Theory and application in improving gold mining safety* (pp. 129–140).

Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the risks of organizational accidents* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Reason, J. (2016). *The human contribution*. Routledge.

Schein, E. H. , & Schein, P. A. (2016). *Organizational culture and leadership* (5th ed.). Wiley. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Organizational+Culture+and+Leadership%2C+5th+Edition-p-9781119212041>

Shirali, Gh. A. , Motamedzade, M. , Mohammadfam, I. , Ebrahimipour, V. , & Moghimbeigi, A. (2015). Assessment of resilience engineering factors based on system properties in a process industry. *Cognition, Technology & Work*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10111-015-0343-1>

Shirali, Gh. A. , Shekari, M. , & Angali, K. A. (2016). Quantitative assessment of resilience safety culture using principal components analysis and numerical taxonomy: A case study in a petrochemical plant. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 40, 277–284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2016.01.007>

Smith, A. F. , & Arfanis, K. (2013). 'Sixth sense' for patient safety. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 110(2), 167–169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aes473>

Sujan, M. A. , Furniss, D. , Anderson, J. , Braithwaite, J. , & Hollnagel, E. (2019). Resilient health care as the basis for teaching patient safety – A safety-II critique of the World Health Organisation patient safety curriculum. *Safety Science*, 118, 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2019.04.046>

Teske, B. E. , & Adjekum, D. K. (2022). Understanding the relationship between High reliability theory (HRT) of mindful organizing and safety management systems (SMS) within the aerospace industry: A cross-sectional quantitative assessment. *Journal of Safety Science and Resilience*, 3(2), 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnlssr.2022.01.002>

UK Civil Aviation Authority . (2023). CAP 403: Flying displays and special events: Safety and administrative requirements and guidance. UK Civil Aviation Authority. <https://publicapps.caa.co.uk/docs/33/CAP403%20Edition%2020.pdf>

United Kingdom Health and Safety Executive . (2002). Evaluating the effectiveness of the health and safety executive's health and safety climate survey tool. (Research Report No. 042; p. 54). Health and Safety Executive.

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission . (2020, June 8). *Safety culture*. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. <https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/safety-culture.html>

Weick, K. E. , & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2001). *Managing the unexpected: Assuring high performance in an age of complexity* (pp. xvi, 200). Jossey-Bass.

Weick, K. E. , & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2009). Managing the unexpected: Resilient performance in an age of uncertainty. *Personnel Psychology*, 62(3), 646–652. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2009.01152_6.x

Yorio, P. L. , Edwards, J. , & Hoeneveld, D. (2019). Safety culture across cultures. *Safety Science*, 120, 402–410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2019.07.021>

Psychological Factors

- Air Accidents Investigation Branch . (2017). Report on the accident to Hawker Hunter T7, G-BXFI near Shoreham airport on August 22 2015 (Aircraft Accident Report No. 1/2017; p. 452). Department of Transport, United Kingdom.
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/58b9247740f0b67ec80000fc/AAR_1-2017_G-BXFI.pdf
- Banks, R. D. , Brinkley, J. W. , Allnutt, R. , & Harding, R. M. (2011). Human response to acceleration (pp. 83–109).
- Barker, D. (2003). Zero error margin: Airshow display flying analysed.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261136368_Zero_Error_Margin_-_Airshow_Display_Flying_Analysed
- Barker, D. (2020). Anatomy of airshow accidents (1st ed., Vol. 1). International Council of Air Shows, International Air Show Safety Team.
- Dekker, S. (2014). Safety differently: Human factors for a new era (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
- Dekker, S. (2017). The field guide to understanding “human error” (3rd ed.). CRC Press.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781317031833/field-guide-understanding-human-error-sidney-dekker>
- Demir, A. , & Aydin, E. (2021). Vestibular illusions and alterations in aerospace environment. Turkish Archives of Otorhinolaryngology, 59, 139–149. <https://doi.org/10.4274/tao.2021.2021-3-3>
- Diehl, A. E. (2002). Silent knights: Blowing the whistle on military accidents. Brassey's Inc.
- Dunning, D. (2011). The Dunning–Kruger effect: On being ignorant of one's own ignorance. In J. M. Olson & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 44, pp. 247–296). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385522-0.00005-6>
- Ercan, E. , & Gunduz, S. H. (2020). The effects of acceleration forces on cognitive functions. *Microgravity Science and Technology*, 32(4), 681–686. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12217-020-09793-0>
- Federal Aviation Administration . (2016). Pilot's handbook of aeronautical knowledge (2016th ed.). Federal Aviation Administration.
https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/handbooks_manuals/aviation/phak/media/pilot_handbook.pdf
- Fiske, S. T. , & Taylor, S. E. (2021). *Social cognition: From brains to culture* (4th ed.). Sage Publications. <https://study.sagepub.com/fiskeandtaylor3e>
- Green, N. D. C. (2016). Long duration acceleration. In *Ernsting's aviation and space medicine 5E* (5th ed.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- International Council of Air Shows . (2012, October 1). Sacred sixty minutes: An update from the field. *ICAS Operations Bulletin*, 5(11). <https://airshows.aero/GetDoc/2924>
- Keebler, J. R. , Lazzara, E. H. , Wilson, K. A. , & Blickensderfer, E. L. (Eds.). (2022). *Human factors in aviation and aerospace* (3rd ed.). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420139-2.00004-6>
- Kern, M. T. (1995). Darker shades of blue: A case study of failed leadership. 27.
- Kruger, J. , & Dunning, D. (1999). Unskilled and unaware of it: How difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(6), 1121–1134. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.77.6.1121>
- Martinussen, M. , & Hunter, D. R. (2018). *Aviation psychology and human factors* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
- Muller, T. U. (2002). G-induced vestibular dysfunction ('the wobbles') among aerobatic pilots: A case report and review. *Ear, Nose, & Throat Journal*, 81(4), 269–272.
- Papadakis, M. (2008). An initial study to discover the human factors underlying air display accidents [Unpublished master's thesis]. Cranfield University.
- Rafaeli, S. , & Noy, A. (2002). Online auctions, messaging, communication and social facilitation: A simulation and experimental evidence. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 11(3), 196–207. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ejis.3000434>
- Slungaard, E. , McLeod, J. , Green, N. D. C. , Kiran, A. , Newham, D. J. , & Harridge, S. D. R. (2017). Incidence of G-induced loss of consciousness and almost loss of consciousness in the Royal Air Force. *Aerospace Medicine and Human Performance*, 88(6), 550–555. <https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.4752.2017>

Thompson, S. C. (1999). Illusions of control: How we overestimate our personal influence. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 8, 187–190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00044>

Tversky, A. , & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science* (New York, N.Y.), 185(4157), 1124–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124>

U.S. Air Force . (1994). Summary of AFR 110-14 USAF accident investigation board report (Aircraft Accident Investigation Report No. 110–14). United States Air Force. <https://www.baaa-acro.com/crash/crash-boeing-b-52h-stratofortress-fairchild-afb-4-killed>

Wickens, C. D. , Gutzwiller, R. S. , & Santamaria, A. (2015). Discrete task switching in overload: A meta-analyses and a model. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 79, 79–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2015.01.002>

Bles, W. (1998). Coriolis illusion. In G. R. F. E. Harris & M. R. Jenkin (Eds.), *Vision and action* (pp. 163–174). Cambridge University Press.

Caldwell, J. A. , Mallis, M. M. , Caldwell, J. L. , Paul, M. A. , Miller, J. C. , & Neri, D. F. (2009). Fatigue countermeasures in aviation. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 80(1), 29–59.

Dekker, S. (2011). *Drift into failure: From hunting broken components to understanding complex systems*. CRC Press/Balkema.

Harris, D. (2016). *Human performance on the flight deck*. CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group.

Jones, D. R. , O'Connor, M. E. , & Boll, P. A. (2011). The effects of negative Gz on pilot performance. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 82(4), 397–406.

Kahneman, D. , Sibony, O. , & Sunstein, C. R. (2021). *Noise: A flaw in human judgment*. Little, Brown Spark.

Lyons, T. J. , Ercoline, W. , O'Toole, K. , & Grayson, K. (1999). The effects of motion sickness and sopite syndrome on the performance of aviators during an instrument landing system approach. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 70(9), 851–857.

Moore, D. A. , & Healy, P. J. (2008). The trouble with overconfidence. *Psychological Review*, 115(2), 502–517.

Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(2), 175–220.

Orasanu, J. , & Martin, L. (1998). Errors in aviation decision making: Bad decisions or bad luck? *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 8(2), 155–177.

Shappell, S. , & Wiegmann, D. A. (2000). *The human factors analysis and classification system—HFACS*. Office of Aviation Medicine, Federal Aviation Administration.

Smith, D. G. (2018). Identifying and managing stress in aerobatic pilots. *Journal of Aviation/Aerospace Education & Research*, 27(2), 53–63.

Staal, M. A. (2004). *Stress, cognition, and human performance: A literature review and conceptual framework*. NASA Ames Research Center.

Turner, M. , & Griffin, M. J. (2004). Motion sickness in public road transport: Passenger behavior and susceptibility. *Ergonomics*, 47(3), 329–341.

Wickens, C. D. , Hutchins, S. D. , Laux, L. , & Sebok, A. (2015). The impact of sleep disruption on complex cognitive tasks: A meta-analysis. *Human Factors*, 57(6), 930–946.

Zajonc, R. B. (1965). Social facilitation. *Science*, 149(3681), 269–274.

Mindfulness

Baltzell, A. , & Akhtar, V. L. (2014). Mindfulness meditation training for sport (MMTS) intervention: Impact of MMTS with Division I female athletes. *The Journal of Happiness & Well-Being*, 2(2), 160–173.

Barker, D. (2020). *Anatomy of airshow accidents* (1st ed., Vol. 1). International Council of Air Shows, International Air Show Safety Team.

Birrer, D. , Röthlin, P. , & Morgan, G. (2012). Mindfulness to enhance athletic performance: Theoretical considerations and possible impact mechanisms. *Mindfulness*, 3(3), 235–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-012-0109-2>

Bishop, S. R. , Lau, M. , Shapiro, S. , Carlson, L. , Anderson, N. D. , Carmody, J. , Segal, Z. V. , Abbey, S. , Speca, M. , Velting, D. , & Devins, G. (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 11, 230–241.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bph077>

Blackburn, E. , & Epel, E. (2018). *The telomere effect: A revolutionary approach to living younger, healthier, longer* (2nd ed.). Orion Spring.

Brintz, C. , Miller, S. , Rae Olmsted, K. , Bartoszek, M. , Cartwright, J. , Kizakevich, P. , Butler, M. , Asefnia, N. , Buben, A. , & Gaylord, S. (2019). Adapting mindfulness training for military service members with chronic pain. *Military Medicine*, 185.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usz312>

Brown, K. , Ryan, R. , & Creswell, J. (2007). Mindfulness: Theoretical foundations and evidence for its salutary effects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 18, 211–237.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10478400701598298>

Chiesa, A. , & Serretti, A. (2009). Mindfulness-based stress reduction for stress management in healthy people: A review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine* (New York, N.Y.), 15(5), 593–600. <https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2008.0495>

Cohen, S. , Janicki-Deverts, D. , & Miller, G. E. (2007). Psychological stress and disease. *JAMA*, 298(14), 1685–1687. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.14.1685>

Cole, N. N. , Nonterah, C. W. , Utsey, S. O. , Hook, J. N. , Hubbard, R. R. , Opare-Henaku, A. , & Fischer, N. L. (2015). Predictor and moderator effects of ego resilience and mindfulness on the relationship between academic stress and psychological well-being in a sample of Ghanaian college students. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 41(4), 340–357.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798414537939>

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2008). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience* (3rd ed.). Harper Perennial.

Denkova, E. , Zanesco, A. P. , Rogers, S. L. , & Jha, A. P. (2020). Is resilience trainable? An initial study comparing mindfulness and relaxation training in firefighters. *Psychiatry Research*, 285, 112794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112794>

Filho, E. , Aubertin, P. , & Petiot, B. (2016). The making of expert performers at Cirque du Soleil and the National Circus School: A performance enhancement outlook. *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action*, 7(2), 68–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2016.1138266>

Gautam, A. , & Mathur, R. (2018). Influence of mindfulness on decision making and psychological flexibility among aircrew. *Journal of Psychosocial Research*, 13(1), 199–207.

Gethin, R. (2011). On some definitions of mindfulness. *Contemporary Buddhism*, 12(1), 263–279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14639947.2011.564843>

Good, D. J. , Lyddy, C. J. , Glomb, T. M. , Bono, J. E. , Brown, K. W. , Duffy, M. K. , Baer, R. A. , Brewer, J. A. , & Lazar, S. W. (2016). Contemplating mindfulness at work: An integrative review. *Journal of Management*, 42(1), 114–142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315617003>

Hofmann, S. G. , Sawyer, A. T. , Witt, A. A. , & Oh, D. (2010). The effect of mindfulness-based therapy on anxiety and depression: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 78(2), 169–183. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018555>

Holas, P. , & Jankowski, T. (2013). A cognitive perspective on mindfulness. *International Journal of Psychology*, 48(3), 232–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207594.2012.658056>

Hollowell, D. (2012, August 1). Putting accident/incident analysis to work for our air show family. *Air Show Digest*. <https://airshowdigest.aero/2012/08/01/putting-accident-incident-analysis-to-work-for-our-air-show-family/>

Holmes, P. S. , & Collins, D. J. (2001). The PETTLEP approach to motor imagery: A functional equivalence model for sport psychologists. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 13, 60–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200109339004>

Holzel, B. , Lazar, S. , Gard, T. , Schuman-Olivier, Z. , Vago, D. , & Ott, U. (2011). How does mindfulness meditation work? Proposing mechanisms of action from a conceptual and neural perspective. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6(6), 537–559.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691611419671>

International Council of Air Shows . (2012, October 1). Sacred sixty minutes: An update from the field. *ICAS Operations Bulletin*, 5(11). <https://airshows.aero/GetDoc/2924>

Jerath, R. , Edry, J. W. , Barnes, V. A. , & Jerath, V. (2006). Physiology of long pranayamic breathing: Neural respiratory elements may provide a mechanism that explains how slow deep breathing shifts the autonomic nervous system. *Medical Hypotheses*, 67(3), 566–571.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2006.02.042>

Ji, M. , Yang, C. , Li, Y. , Xu, Q. , & He, R. (2018). The influence of trait mindfulness on incident involvement among Chinese airline pilots: The role of risk perception and flight experience. *Journal of Safety Research*, 66, 161–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.07.005>

Kabat-Zinn, J. (1994). *Wherever you go, there you are: Mindfulness meditation in everyday life* (10th Anniversary). First Hachette Books.

Katz, D. , Sarnoff, I. , & McClintock, C. (1956). Ego-defense and attitude change. *Human Relations*, 9(1), 27–45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675600900102>

Krieger, J. L. (2005). Shared mindfulness in cockpit crisis situations: An exploratory analysis. *The Journal of Business Communication*, 42(2), 135–167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021943605274726>

Lamothe, M. , Rondeau, É. , Malboeuf-Hurtubise, C. , Duval, M. , & Sultan, S. (2016). Outcomes of MBSR or MBSR-based interventions in health care providers: A systematic review with a focus on empathy and emotional competencies. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, 24, 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2015.11.001>

Li, Y. , Chen, H. , Xin, X. , & Ji, M. (2020). The influence of mindfulness on mental state with regard to safety among civil pilots. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 84, 101768. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2020.101768>

Martinussen, M. , & Hunter, D. R. (2018). *Aviation psychology and human factors* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.

Meland, A. , Fonne, V. , Wagstaff, A. , & Pensgaard, A. M. (2015). Mindfulness-based mental training in a high-performance combat aviation population: A one-year intervention study and two-year follow-up. *International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 25(1), 48–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508414.2015.995572>

Nilsson, H. , & Kazemi, A. (2016). Reconciling and thematizing definitions of mindfulness: The big five of mindfulness. *Review of General Psychology*, 20(2), 183–193. <https://doi.org/10.1037/gpr0000074>

Oliver, N. , Calvard, T. , & Potočník, K. (2019). Safe limits, mindful organizing and loss of control in commercial aviation. *Safety Science*, 120, 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2019.08.018>

Prinsloo, G. E. , Rauch, H. G. L. , Karpul, D. , & Derman, W. E. (2013). The effect of a single session of short duration heart rate variability biofeedback on EEG: A pilot study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 38(1), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-012-9207-0>

Reason, J. (2016). *The human contribution*. Routledge.

Ricard, M. , Lutz, A. , & Davidson, R. J. (2014). Mind of the meditator. *Scientific American*, 311(5), 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1114-38>

Ross, A. , & Shapiro, J. (2017). Under the big top: An exploratory analysis of psychological factors influencing circus performers. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 5, 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peh.2017.03.001>

Russ, S. (2015, July 8). Mindfulness-based stress reduction finds a place in the military. *United States Army*. https://www.army.mil/article/151787/mindfulness_based_stress_reduction_finds_a_place_in_the_military

Seppälä, E. M. , Nitschke, J. B. , Tudorascu, D. L. , Hayes, A. , Goldstein, M. R. , Nguyen, D. T. H. , Perlman, D. , & Davidson, R. J. (2014). Breathing-based meditation decreases posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in U.S. military veterans: A randomized controlled longitudinal study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 27(4), 397–405. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21936>

Shonin, E. , & Van Gordon, W. (2015). Practical recommendations for teaching mindfulness effectively. *Mindfulness*, 6(4), 952–955. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-014-0342-y>

Stocker, E. , Englert, C. , & Seiler, R. (2017). Mindfulness and self-control in sport. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 39(Suppl.), Article Suppl. <https://doi.org/10.7892/boris.112471>

Tang, Y.-Y. , Hölzel, B. K. , & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, 16(4), 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3916>

Verney, J. (2009). Mindfulness and the adult ego state. *Transactional Analysis Journal*, 39(3), 247–255. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036215370903900308>

Wallace, B. A. (2006). *Resurgent attention and close attention*. In *The attention revolution: Unlocking the power of the focused mind* (1st ed., pp. 43–73). Wisdom Publications.

Zeidan, F. , Johnson, S. K. , Diamond, B. J. , David, Z. , & Goolkasian, P. (2010). Mindfulness meditation improves cognition: Evidence of brief mental training. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 19(2), 597–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2010.03.014>

Brach, T. (2012). *True refuge: Finding peace and freedom in your own awakened heart*. Bantam Books.

Chambers, R. , Gullone, E. , & Allen, N. B. (2009). Mindful emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 29(6), 560–572.

Goleman, D. , & Davidson, R. J. (2017). *Altered traits: Science reveals how meditation changes your mind, brain, and body*. Avery Publishing.

Greeson, J. M. , & Chin, G. (2015). Mindfulness and physical disease: A concise review. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 5, 120–123.

Grossman, P. , Niemann, L. , Schmidt, S. , & Walach, H. (2004). Mindfulness-based stress reduction and health benefits: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 57(1), 35–43.

Jha, A. P. , Stanley, E. A. , Kiyonaga, A. , Wong, L. , & Gelfand, L. (2010). Examining the protective effects of mindfulness training on working memory capacity and affective experience. *Emotion*, 10(1), 54–64.

Lutz, A. , Slagter, H. A. , Dunne, J. D. , & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Attention regulation and monitoring in meditation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 12(4), 163–169.

Salzberg, S. (2011). *Lovingkindness: The revolutionary art of happiness*. Shambhala Publications.

Epic Survivals

Air Accidents Investigation Branch . (2022). AAIIB investigation to Boeing A75N1(PT17) Stearman, N707TJ (Bulletin - Field Investigation No. 27642; p. 19). UK Air Accidents Investigation Branch. <https://www.gov.uk/aaib-reports/aaib-investigation-to-boeing-a75n1-pt17-stearman-n707tj>

Allan, J. , & Orosz, A. (2001). The costs of bird strikes to commercial aviation. *Proceedings of Bird Strike*, 2001.

Anderson, J. R. (1983). Acquisition of proof skills in geometry (R. S. Michalski , J. G. Carbonell , & T. M. Mitchell , Eds., pp. 191–219). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-12405-5_7

Karachalios M . (2023). Interview with a witness at the demonstration CF-18 Hornet accident of July 23, 2010 (M. Karachalios , Interviewer) [In-person Interview].

Arkes, H. R. , & Blumer, C. (1985). The psychology of sunk cost. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 35, 124–140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(85\)90049-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(85)90049-4)

Arstila, V. (2012). Time slows down during accidents. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00196>

Barker, D. (2020a). *Anatomy of airshow accidents* (1st ed., Vol. 1). International Council of Air Shows, International Air Show Safety Team.

Barker, D. (2020b, December). Air show accidents: A statistical and preventative perspective. ICAS Convention. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=29zT0edEAUQ&t=877s>

BBC News . (2011, August 20). Red Arrows pilot dies in Bournemouth Air Festival crash. BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-14602900>

Bock, O. , Wechsler, K. , Koch, I. , & Schubert, T. (2021). Dual-task interference and response strategies in simulated car driving: Impact of first-task characteristics on the psychological refractory period effect. *Psychological Research*, 85(2), 568–576. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-019-01272-5>

Bouchard, S. , Baus, O. , Bernier, F. , & McCreary, D. R. (2010). Selection of key stressors to develop virtual environments for practicing stress management skills with military personnel prior to deployment. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, 13(1), 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2009.0336>

Caroll, M. , Padron, C. K. , DeVore, L. , Winslow, B. , Hannigan, F. P. , Murphy, J. S. , & Squire, P. (2013, December 1). Simulation training approach for small unit decision-making under stress. Interservice/Industry Training, Simulation, and Education Conference (IITSEC), Orlando, FL.

CF-18 pilot describes spectacular crash. (2010, August 17). YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=anz4bBsZ2WM>

Cheyne, A. (2010). Attention Lapses. In *The Corsini encyclopedia of psychology* (pp. 1–1). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470479216.corpsy0095>

Chira, I. , Adams, M. , & Thornton, B. (2008). Behavioral bias within the decision making process (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 2629036). <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2629036>

CNN . (2010, March 26). Greece's financial crisis explained. <http://www.cnn.com/2010/BUSINESS/02/10/greek.debt.qanda/index.html>

Coppola, D. P. (2020). *Introduction to international disaster management* (4th ed.). Elsevier. <https://www.elsevier.com/books/introduction-to-international-disaster-management/p-coppola/978-0-12-817368-8>

Daily Sabah . (2022, December 6). Military plane crashes in central Türkiye, pilot survives. Daily Sabah. <https://www.dailysabah.com/turkey/military-plane-crashes-in-central-turkiye-pilot-survives/news>

Dolbeer, R. A. , & Eschenfelder, P. (2003). Amplified bird-strike risks related to population increases of large birds in North America (pp. 49–67).

Driskell, J. E. , & Salas, E. (Eds.). (1996). *Stress and human performance* (pp. xiv, 314). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Drury, I. (2011, November 9). Second tragedy for Red Arrows after pilot is killed in freak jet "ejector seat" accident at air base. Mail Online. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2059004/Red-Arrows-accident-Pilot-Sean-Cunningham-killed-RAF-Scampton.html>

Endsley, M. R. (1995). Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human Factors*, 37(1), 32–64. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872095779049543>

Entin, E. E. , & Serfaty, D. (1990). Information gathering and decision making under stress (Technical Report No. DA218233; AD-E501191; TR-454). <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/ADA218233>

Eysenck, M. W. , Derakshan, N. , Santos, R. , & Calvo, M. G. (2007). Anxiety and cognitive performance: Attentional control theory. *Emotion* (Washington, D.C.), 7(2), 336–353. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336>

Fagot, C. , & Pashler, H. (1992). Making two responses to a single object: Implications for the central attentional bottleneck. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. Human Perception and Performance*, 18(4), 1058–1079. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0096-1523.18.4.1058>

Federal Aviation Administration . (2023). FAA wildlife strike database. FAA Wildlife Strike Database. <https://wildlife.faa.gov/home>

Fu, W.-T. , & Gray, W. D. (2006). Suboptimal tradeoffs in information seeking. *Cognitive Psychology*, 52, 195–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2005.08.002>

Harris, D. (2011). *Human performance in the flight deck*. CRC Press.

Held, M. , Rieger, J. W. , & Borst, J. P. (2022). Multitasking while driving: Central bottleneck or problem state interference? *Human Factors*, 187208221143857. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00187208221143857>

Jung, K. , Ruthruff, E. , Tybur, J. M. , Gaspelin, N. , & Miller, G. (2012). Perception of facial attractiveness requires some attentional resources: Implications for the "automaticity" of psychological adaptations. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 33(3), 241–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2011.10.001>

Juračka, J. , Chlebek, J. , & Hodaň, V. (2021). Bird strike as a threat to aviation safety. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 59, 281–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2021.11.120>

Kahneman, D. , & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>

Kanki, B. , Anca, J. , & Chidester, T. (2019). *Crew resource management* (3rd ed.). Academic Press. <https://www.elsevier.com/books/crew-resource-management/kanki/978-0-12-812995-1>

Khoo, Y.-L. , & Mosier, K. (2005). Searching for cues: An analysis on factors effecting the decision making process of regional airline pilots. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 49(3), 578–581. <https://doi.org/10.1177/154193120504900377>

Lien, M.-C. , Ruthruff, E. , & Johnston, J. C. (2006). Attentional limitations in doing two tasks at once: The search for exceptions. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15, 89–93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2006.00413.x>

Lockheed Martin . (2023). Automatic ground collision avoidance system (Auto GCAS). Lockheed Martin. <https://www.lockheedmartin.com/en-us/products/autogcas.html>

Loukopoulos, L. L. , Dismukes, R. K. , & Barshi, I. (2010). The multitasking myth: Handling complexity in real-world operations. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 24(7), 1046–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1675>

Matthews, G. , & Desmond, P. A. (2002). Task-induced fatigue states and simulated driving performance. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology. A, Human Experimental Psychology*, 55(2), 659–686. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724980143000505>

Metz, I. C. , Ellerbroek, J. , Mühlhausen, T. , Kügler, D. , & Hoekstra, J. M. (2020). The bird strike challenge. *Aerospace*, 7(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace7030026>

National Transport Safety Board . (2008). Aviation investigation final report: Hurricane and Spitfire 26 Apr 2008 (Aircraft Accident Investigation Report DFW08LA118; p. 12). National Transport Safety Board. <https://aviation-safety.net/wikibase/17697>

Orasanu, J. , & Martin, L. (1998). Errors in aviation decision making: A factor in accidents and incidents. HESSD. https://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~johnson/papers/seattle_hessd/judithlynn-p.pdf

Orasanu, J. , Martin, L. , & Davidson, J. (2001). Cognitive and contextual factors in aviation accidents: Decision errors. In *Linking expertise and naturalistic decision making* (pp. 209–225). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410604200>

Royal Air Force, United Kingdom . (2010). Service inquiry report into the Red Arrows accident in Crete on 23 March 2010 (p. 41) [Corporate report]. Royal Air Force, United Kingdom. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/service-inquiry-report-into-the-red-arrows-accident-in-crete-on-23-march-2010>

Royal Canadian Air Force . (2012, December 12). Flight safety investigation report: Demonstration CF-18 accident of July 23, 2010. Flight Safety Investigation Report: Demonstration CF-18 Accident of July 23, 2010. <https://www.canada.ca/en/departement-national-defence/maple-leaf/rcaf/migration/2013/flight-safety-investigation-report-demonstration-cf-18-accident-of-july-23-2010.html>

Rozin, P. , & Royzman, E. B. (2001). Negativity bias, negativity dominance, and contagion. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(4), 296–320. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0504_2

Salas, E. , Cooke, N. J. , & Rosen, M. A. (2008). On teams, teamwork, and team performance: Discoveries and developments. *Human Factors*, 50(3), 540–547. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872008X288457>

Salas, E. , & Maurino, D. (Eds.). (2010). *Human factors in aviation* (2nd ed.). Academic Press. <https://www.elsevier.com/books/T/A/9780123745187>

Schriver, A. T. , Morrow, D. G. , Wickens, C. D. , & Talleur, D. A. (2008). Expertise differences in attentional strategies related to pilot decision making. *Human Factors*, 50(6), 864–878. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872008X374974>

Staal, M. A. (2004). Stress, cognition, and human performance: A literature review and conceptual framework (NASA Tech. Memorandum No. 212824; p. 177). NASA Ames Research Center.

Stetson, C. , Fiesta, M. P. , & Eagleman, D. M. (2007). Does time really slow down during a frightening event? *PloS One*, 2(12), e1295. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001295>

Sulistiyawati, K. , Wickens, C. D. , & Chui, Y. P. (2011). Prediction in situation awareness: Confidence bias and underlying cognitive abilities. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 21(2), 153–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508414.2011.556492>

Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction*, 4(4), 295–312. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752\(94\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752(94)90003-5)

Tedeschi, R. G. , & Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(1), 1–18.

The Globe and Mail . (2012, December 11). Investigation finds stuck piston likely led to crash of CF-18 Hornet in air-show practice. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/national/investigation-finds-stuck-piston-likely-led-to-crash-of-cf-18-hornet-in-air-show-practice/article6220481/>

The New York Times . (2016, June 17). Explaining Greece's debt crisis. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2016/business/international/greece-debt-crisis-euro.html>

Thoits, P. A. (2011). Mechanisms linking social ties and support to physical and mental health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 52(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510395592>

Thomson, D. R. , Besner, D. , & Smilek, D. (2015). A resource-control account of sustained attention: Evidence from mind-wandering and vigilance paradigms. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(1), 82–96. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691614556681>

Thorpe, J. (2003). Fatalities and destroyed aircraft due to bird strikes, 1912–2002. *International Bird Strike Committee*, 28. https://ecirtam.net/autoblogs/autoblogs/frglobalvoicesonlineorg_0e319138ab63237c2d2aeff84b4cb506d936eab8/media/0b84ca6f.IBSC2620WPSA1.pdf

Tombu, M. , & Jolicoeur, P. (2005). Testing the predictions of the central capacity sharing model. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. Human Perception and Performance*, 31(4), 790–802. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-1523.31.4.790>

Tversky, A. , & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 185(4157), 1124–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124>

U.S. Air Force . (2018). Bird/wildlife aircraft strike hazard (BASH) management program (No. 91–212; p. 52). U.S. Air Force. <https://www.safety.af.mil/Portals/71/documents/Aviation/BASH/DAFI%2091-212%20Guidance%20Memorandum%208%20Jun%202022.pdf?ver=diwkouxYOQOYVp1RR8bWlg%3d%3d>

U.S. Air Force . (2023). Bird/wildlife aircraft strike hazard (BASH). Bird/Wildlife Aircraft Strike Hazard (BASH). <https://www.safety.af.mil/Divisions/Aviation-Safety-Division/BASH/>

Vidulich, M. , Wickens, C. , Tsang, P. , & Flach, J. (2010). Information processing in aviation. In *Human factors in aviation* (pp. 175–215). <https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/psychology/289>

Wickens, C. (2021). Attention: Theory, principles, models and applications. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 37(5), 403–417. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2021.1874741>

Wickens, C. D. (2002). Situation awareness and workload in aviation. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 11(4), 128–133. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00184>

Wickens, C. D. , & Alexander, A. L. (2009). Attentional tunneling and task management in synthetic vision displays. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 19(2), 182–199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508410902766549>

Wickens, C. D. , Gutzwiller, R. S. , & Santamaria, A. (2015). Discrete task switching in overload: A meta-analysis and a model. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 79, 79–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2015.01.002>

Wickens, C. D. , Helton, W. S. , Hollands, J. G. , & Banbury, S. (2021). *Engineering psychology and human performance* (5th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003177616>

Wickens, C. D. , Santamaria, A. , & Sebok, A. (2013). A computational model of task overload management and task switching. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 57(1), 763–767. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213571167>

Wickens, C. D. , & Yeh, M. (2018). Display compellingness: A literature review (DOT/FAA/AM-19/13; p. 18). United States. Department of Transportation. Federal Aviation Administration. Office of Aviation. Civil Aerospace Medical Institute. <https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/56991>

Wickens, C. , Stokes, A. , Barnett, B. , & Hyman, F. (1993). The effects of stress on pilot judgment in a MIDIS simulator (pp. 271–292). Plenum Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-6846-6_18

Wiegmann, D. A. , & Shapell, S. A. (2016). *A human error approach to aviation accident analysis: The human factors analysis and classification system* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315263878/human-error-approach-aviation-accident-analysis-douglas-wiegmann-scott-shappell>

Wiggins, M. , Stevens, C. , Howard, A. , Henley, I. , & O'Hare, D. (2002). Expert, intermediate and novice performance during simulated pre-flight decision-making. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 54(3), 162–167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049530412331312744>

Wittmann, M. , & Van Wassenhove, V. (2009). The experience of time: Neural mechanisms and the interplay of emotion, cognition and embodiment. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 364(1525), 1809–1813. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0025>

Wright, N. A. , & Haynes, S. R. (2009). Ejection decision-making: Can pilots accurately predict aircraft impact conditions? *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 80(9), 832–839.

CF18 crash Lethbridge. (2014, July 24). YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0HDIxzSmp-0>

Flight demonstration of F-16 “Zeus” at Thessaloniki-28/10/2012 (English Translation from Greek Title: Αεροπορική Επίδειξη F-16 “Ζεύς” στη Θεσσαλονίκη—28/10/2012). (2012, November 19). YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4WQfD8DEl8Q>

Raw video of an aircraft crashing in Poole Harbour. (2021, September 5). YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PRtSGb-17O4>

Split second eject MiG-29 crash. (2018, March 30). YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sLH_LesiwKE

The Evolution of Air Shows

Atkeison, C. (2018, August 25). U.S. Navy's Blue Angels inspire young adults in STEM careers. Avgeekery.Com. <https://avgeekery.com/u-s-navys-blue-angels-inspire-young-adults-in-stem-careers/>

Bayer, M. M. , Rash, C. E. , & Brindle, J. H. (2009). Introduction to helmet-mounted displays: U.S. Army Aviation. <https://doi.org/10.1037/e614362011-004>

Boatman, J. (2020, March 5). Garmin's autoland gets flight tested. Flying Magazine. <https://www.flyingmag.com/garmin-autoland-flight-tested/>

Carmigniani, J. , Furht, B. , Anisetti, M. , Ceravolo, P. , Damiani, E. , & Ivkovic, M. (2011). Augmented reality technologies, systems and applications. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 51(1), 341–377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-010-0660-6>

Chaparro, A. , Miranda, A. , & Grubb, J. (2023). Chapter 13 – Aviation displays: Design for automation and new display formats. In J. R. Keebler , E. H. Lazzara , K. A. Wilson , & E. L. Blickensderfer (Eds.), *Human factors in aviation and aerospace* (Third Edition) (pp. 341–371). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420139-2.00014-9>

Cirrus Approach . (2023). CAPS training. Cirrus Approach. <https://www.cirrusapproach.com/caps-training/>

EASA . (2021, May 19). Urban Air Mobility (UAM). EASA. <https://www.easa.europa.eu/en/domains/urban-air-mobility-uam>

Ernst, J. , Ebrecht, L. , & Korn, B. (2021). Virtual cockpit instruments—How head-worn displays can enhance the obstacle awareness of helicopter pilots. *IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine*, 36(4), 18–34. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MAES.2021.3052304>

Farnborough Airshow . (2023). Farnborough airshow: Sustainability. Farnborough Airshow. <https://www.farnboroughairshow.com/the-show/industry-themes/sustainability/>

Federal Aviation Administration . (2023). Urban air mobility and advanced air mobility. FAA. https://www.faa.gov/uas/advanced_operations/urban_air_mobility

Ganz, J. (2012, April 17). How that Tupac hologram at Coachella worked. NPR. <https://www.npr.org/sections/therecord/2012/04/17/150820261/how-that-tupac-hologram-at-coachella-worked>

Hagen, D. (2020). Sustainable event management: New perspectives for the meeting industry through innovation and digitalisation?

Ma, M. , Jain, L. C. , & Anderson, P. (2016). *Virtual, augmented reality and serious games for healthcare* (1st ed.). Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated.

PRWeb . (2019, February 20). Drone light show returns to illuminate night sky at EAA AirVenture Oshkosh 2019. PRWeb. https://www.prweb.com/releases/drone_light_show_returns_to_illuminate_night_sky_at_eaa_air_venture_oshkosh_2019/prweb16115195.htm

Raj, R. , & Musgrave, J. (Eds.). (2009). *Event management and sustainability*. CABI Publishing.

Rosenberg, L. B. (2022). Augmented reality: Reflections at thirty years. In K. Arai (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference (FTC) 2021*, volume 1 (pp. 1–11). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-89906-6_1

Saxby, G. , & Zacharovas, S. (2015). *Practical holography* (4th ed.). CRC Press. <https://www.routledge.com/Practical-Holography/Saxby-Zacharovas/p/book/9781482251579>

Whitney Houston Official Site . (2023). An evening with Whitney: The Whitney Houston hologram concert. Whitney Houston Official Site. <https://www.whitneyhouston.com/tour/an-evening-with-whitney-the-whitney-houston-hologram-tour/>

Wells, M. J. , & Haas, M. (1992). The human factors of helmet-mounted displays and sights. In *Electro-optical displays* (p. 44). CRC Press.

Wu, H. K. , Lee, S. W. Y. , Chang, H. Y. , & Liang, J. C. (2013). Current status, opportunities and challenges of augmented reality in education. *Computers and Education*, 62, 41–49.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.024>